arXiv:math/0407103v10 [math.OA] 31 May 2005

STRONG RIGIDITY OF II_1 FACTORS ARISING FROM MALLEABLE ACTIONS OF w-RIGID GROUPS, II

SORIN POPA

University of California, Los Angeles

Abstract. We prove that any isomorphism $\theta : M_0 \simeq M$ of group measure space II_1 factors, $M_0 = L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0) \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$, $M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$, with G_0 an ICC group containing an infinite normal subgroup with the relative property (T) of Kazhdan-Margulis (i.e. G_0 *w-rigid*) and σ a Bernoulli shift action of some group G, essentially comes from an isomorphism of probability spaces which conjugates the actions with respect to some identification $G_0 \simeq G$. Moreover, any isomorphism θ of M_0 onto a "corner" pMp of M, for $p \in M$ an idempotent, forces $p = 1$. In particular, all group measure space factors associated with Bernoulli shift actions of w-rigid ICC groups have trivial fundamental group and any isomorphism of such factors comes from an isomorphism of the corresponding groups. This settles a "group measure space version" of Connes rigidity conjecture, shown in fact to hold true in a greater generality than just for ICC property (T) groups. We apply these results to ergodic theory, establishing new strong rigidity and superrigidity results for orbit equivalence relations.

0. Introduction.

We continue in this paper the study of rigidity properties of isomorphisms $\theta : M_0 \simeq M$ of crossed product II_1 factors initiated in ([Po4]), concentrating here on the "group measure space" case, when the factors M_0, M involved come from free ergodic measure preserving (m.p.) actions of groups on probability spaces. Similarly to ([Po4]), we assume the "source" factor M_0 comes from an arbitrary free ergodic measure preserving action σ_0 of a "mildly rigid" group G_0 (i.e. having a "large" subgroup with the relative property (T) of Kazhdan-Margulis), while the "target" factor M comes from an action σ satisfying good "deformation+mixing"

Supported in part by a NSF Grant 0100883.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

properties (typically a Bernoulli shift). Our main result shows that any isomorphism θ between such group measure space factors M_0, M essentially comes from an isomorphism of probability spaces that conjugates the actions σ_0, σ, with respect to some isomorphism of the groups G_0, G. In particular, any isomorphism of the probability spaces that takes the orbits of σ_0 onto the orbits of σ (almost everywhere), must come from a conjugacy of the actions σ_0, σ. This establishes new strong rigidity and superrigidity results in orbit equivalence ergodic theory, which are thus obtained through a von Neumann algebra approach.

The factors M_0, M come from the following data: $\sigma_0 : G_0 \to \text{Aut}(X_0, \mu_0)$, $\sigma : G \to \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ are free ergodic measure preserving (m.p) actions of countable discrete groups G_0, G on standard probability spaces $(X_0, \mu_0), (X, \mu)$; $M_0 = L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0) \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$, $M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ are their associated group measure space (or crossed product) II_1 factors, as defined by Murray and von Neumann ([MvN1]). In addition to being free and ergodic, $(\sigma_0, G_0), (\sigma, G)$ are assumed to satisfy:

$(*)$. G_0 is *w-rigid*, i.e. it contains an infinite normal subgroup H_0 with the relative property (T) of Kazhdan-Margulis (in other words, (G_0, H_0) is a *property* (T) *pair*, see [Ma], [dHV]). We also consider the class $w\mathcal{T}_0$ of groups G_0 containing subgroups $H \subset G_0$ with the properties: (a). (G_0, H) is a property (T) pair; (b). H is not virtually abelian; (c). H satisfies a "very weak" normality condition in G_0 (see 7.0.3). The w-rigid groups will always be required ICC (*infinite conjugacy class*), while the groups in the class $w\mathcal{T}_0$ need not be ICC.

Infinite property (T) groups are both w-rigid and in the class $w\mathcal{T}_0$. The groups $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \Gamma$, for $\Gamma \subset SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ non-amenable (cf [Ka], [Ma], [Bu]) and the groups $\mathbb{Z}^N \rtimes \Gamma$ for suitable actions of arithmetic lattices $\Gamma \subset SU(n,1), SO(n,1), n \geq 2$ (cf [Va], [Fe]) are all w-rigid, but not in the class $w\mathcal{T}_0$. Any product of a w-rigid (resp. $w\mathcal{T}_0$) group with an arbitrary group is still w-rigid (resp. $w\mathcal{T}_0$).

$(**)$. σ is a Bernoulli shift action of an ICC group G. Thus, σ acts on the probability space $(X, \mu) = \Pi_g (Y_0, \nu_0)_g$ by $\sigma_g((x_h)_h) = (x'_h)_h$, where $x'_h = x_{g^{-1}h}, \forall h$. The key attributes of Bernoulli shifts that we actually need are: a deformation property called *sub s-malleability* (Definition 4.2 in [Po4]); a strong mixing property called *clustering* (Definition 1.1 in this paper). Thus, all statements below that require an action to be Bernoulli, equally work with the assumption "sub s-malleable and clustering".

The results we prove concern the structure of isomorphisms $\theta : M_0 \simeq M$ between factors as above. More generally, we consider isomorphisms between amplifications of M_0, M by positive real numbers ([MvN2]): If N is a II_1 factor and $t > 0$ then the *amplification* of N by t is the (isomorphism class of the) II_1 factor $N^t \overset{\text{def}}{=}$

$pM_{n\times n}(N)p$, where $n \geq t$ and p is a projection in $M_{n\times n}(N)$ of normalized trace $\tau(p)$ equal to t/n. Thus, if $1 \geq t > 0$ then N^t is a "corner" pNp of N, for $p \in N$ a projection of trace t.

Any *orbit equivalence* (OE) of σ_0, σ (i.e. an isomorphism of probability spaces $(X_0, \nu_0) \simeq (X, \mu)$ which takes onto each other the equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}, \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ given by the orbits of $(\sigma_0, G_0), (\sigma, G)$) implements an isomorphism of M_0, M (cf. [Dy], [FM]). More generally, if $Y \subset X$ has measure $\mu(Y) = t > 0$ then any isomorphism $(X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (Y, \mu_Y)$ which takes $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}$ onto the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}^Y_\sigma$ obtained by intersecting the orbits of σ with Y implements an isomorphism $M_0 \simeq M^t$. In general, not all isomorphisms of group measure space factors come from OE ([CJ1]), and OE need not imply isomorphism of groups ([MvN2], [Dy], [OW], [CFW]), much less conjugacy of actions. However, we have:

0.1. Theorem (vNE Strong Rigidity). *Let M_0, M be group measure space* II_1 *factors arising from actions $(\sigma_0, G_0), (\sigma, G)$ satisfying $(*)$ resp. $(**)$. If $\theta : M_0 \simeq M^t$ is an isomorphism of von Neumann algebras, for some $1 \geq t > 0$, then $t = 1$ and θ is of the form $\theta = \text{Ad}(u) \circ \theta^\gamma \circ \theta^{\delta,\Delta}$, where: u is a unitary element in M; $\theta^\gamma \in \text{Aut}(M)$ is implemented by a character γ of G; $\theta^{\delta,\Delta} : M_0 \simeq M$ is implemented by an isomorphism of groups $\delta : G_0 \simeq G$ and an isomorphism of probability spaces $\Delta : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (X, \mu)$ satisfying $\sigma(\delta(h)) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \sigma_0(h)$, $\forall h \in G_0$.*

This extremely rigid situation is in sharp contrast with the amenable case, where by Connes' Theorem ([C3]) all group measure space II_1 factors $L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ are isomorphic, and by results of Dye ([Dy]), Ornstein-Weiss ([OW]), Connes-Feldman-Weiss ([CFW]) all (σ, G) are orbit equivalent. Instead, Theorem 0.1 is in line with the rigidity results established over the last 25 years in von Neumann algebra theory ([C1,2], [CJ2], [Po8], [CoHa], [GoNe], [Po1-4]) and orbit equivalence ergodic theory ([Zi], [GeGo], [Po8], [Ga1,2], [Fu1-3], [MoSh], [Hj], [PoSa], [Po9]).

In fact, the terminology "strong rigidity" is borowed from ergodic theory, where "strong orbit rigidity" ([Zi], [Fu1]), or "OE strong rigidity" ([MoSh]), designates results showing that within a certain class of group actions orbital equivalence automatically entails isomorphism of the groups and conjugacy of the actions. While deriving the same type of conclusion, Theorem 0.1 assumes an even weaker equivalence of actions than orbit equivalence (OE), namely *von Neumann equivalence* (vNE), i.e. isomorphism of the associated group measure space von Neumann algebras. Since Connes and Jones constructed examples of non-OE actions that give rise to isomorphic factors ([CJ1]), vNE is indeed (strictly) weaker than OE. Thus, Theorem 0.1 can be viewed both as a "strong rigidity"-type result in von Neumann algebra theory, and as a new, stronger rigidity statement for ergodic theory.

By applying this result to the case both actions are Bernoulli shifts and both groups are ICC and either w-rigid or in $w\mathcal{T}_0$, one obtains a large class of group measure factors that can be distinguished by the isomorphism class of their group-data:

0.2. Corollary. *Let* G_i *be ICC and either w-rigid or in the class* $w\mathcal{T}_0$ *and* $\sigma_i : G_i \to \mathrm{Aut}(X_i, \mu_i)$ *a Bernoulli* G_i*-action,* $i = 0, 1$*. Denote* $M_i = L^\infty(X_i, \mu_i) \rtimes_{\sigma_i} G_i$ *the associated group measure space* II_1 *factors and* $M_i^\infty = M_i \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell^2\mathbb{N})$ *the corresponding* II_∞ *factors,* $i = 0, 1$*. Then* $M_0^\infty \simeq M_1^\infty \Leftrightarrow M_0 \simeq M_1 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_0$ *conjugate to* σ_1 *with respect to some identification of* $G_0 \simeq G$*. Even more so, if* $\theta : M_0^\infty \simeq M_1^\infty$*, then* θ *is the amplification of an isomorphism* $\theta_0 : M_0 \simeq M_1$ *of the form* $\theta_0 = \mathrm{Ad}(u) \circ \theta^\gamma \circ \theta^{\delta,\Delta}$*, for some* $u \in \mathcal{U}(M_1)$*,* $\gamma \in \mathrm{Char}(G_1)$ *and* $\delta : G_0 \simeq G_1$*,* $\Delta : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (X_1, \mu_1)$ *satisfying* $\sigma_1(\delta(h))\Delta = \Delta\sigma_0(h)$*,* $\forall h \in G_0$*.*

At the Kingston AMS Summer School in 1980 A. Connes posed the problem of showing that factors arising from property (T) ICC groups are isomorphic iff the groups are isomorphic (see [C2]). He formulated the question related to his discovery that property (T) ICC groups G give rise to group factors $L(G)$ with rigid symmetry structure ([C1]). By now, several results in von Neumann algebras ([CoHa], [Po8], [CSh]) and ergodic theory ([Zi], [CoZi], [GeGo], [GoNa], [Fu1,2]) provide supporting evidence towards a positive answer to the conjecture (see V.F.R. Jones' comments on the "higher rank lattice" version of this problem in [J2]).

Since $L^\infty(X_i, \mu_i) \rtimes_{\sigma_i} G_i$, for σ_i Bernoulli, can be viewed as a canonical "group measure space"-version of the group factor $L(G_i)$, Corollary 2 can be regarded as an affirmative answer to a natural "relative variant" of the conjecture. This is best emphasized by re-formulating Corollary 0.2 as a "group factor" statement, by using wreath product groups. Thus, if we denote $\tilde{G} = \mathbb{Z}^G \rtimes G$ (the wreath product with "base" $\mathbb{Z}$) then the group factor $L(\tilde{G})$ is naturally isomorphic to the group measure space factor corresponding to the Bernoulli shift action σ of G on $\mathbb{T}^G$, and so we get:

0.3. Corollary. *Let* G_i *be w-rigid ICC groups and denote* $\tilde{G}_i = \mathbb{Z}^{G_i} \rtimes G_i$ *the corresponding wreath product,* $i = 0, 1$*. Then* $L(\tilde{G}_0) \simeq L(\tilde{G}_1)$ *iff* $\tilde{G}_0 \simeq \tilde{G}_1$ *and iff* $G_0 \simeq G_1$*.*

Corollary 0.2 shows that all group measure space factors $L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ for G w-rigid ICC and σ Bernoulli G-action have trivial fundamental group. It also reduces the calculation of the outer automorphism group of such a factor to the calculation of the commutant of σ in the set of automorphisms of the probability space (X, μ), $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma, G) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \sigma(G)' \cap \mathrm{Aut}(X, \mu)$:

0.4. Corollary. *Let* G *be ICC and either w-rigid or in* $w\mathcal{T}_0$*. If* $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X, \mu)$ *is a Bernoulli* G*-action and* $M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$*,* $M^\infty = M \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell^2\mathbb{N})$ *then* M *has* *trivial fundamental group,* $\mathscr{F}(M) = \{1\}$*, and* $\text{Out}(M^\infty) = \text{Out}(M) = \text{Aut}_0(\sigma, G) \times (\text{Char}(G) \rtimes \text{Out}(G))$*. Similarly,* $\mathscr{F}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma) = \{1\}$*,* $\text{Out}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma) = \text{Aut}_0(\sigma, G) \times \text{Out}(G)$*.*

The first examples of II_1 factors with trivial fundamental group were obtained in ([Po2]; see also [Po3]). The key result which allowed this computation was a "strong rigidity"-type result similar to Theorem 0.1, showing that any stable vNE of two actions $(\sigma_0, G_0), (\sigma, G)$, with σ_0 satisfying a relative property (T) in the spirit of Kazhdan-Margulis and Connes-Jones ([CJ2]), and with G satisfying Haagerup's compact approximation property, comes from an OE of the actions. Thus, for the class $\mathcal{HT}$ of factors arising from actions satisfying both properties, all OE invariants (such as Gaboriau's cost or ℓ^2-Betti numbers [Ga1,2]) are in fact isomorphism invariants of the factors. Using the calculations of ℓ^2-Betti numbers in ([Ga2]) one derives that $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2, \lambda) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_n = L(\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{F}_n)$ have trivial fundamental group and are mutually non-isomorphic for $n = 2, 3, ...$ Thus, the approach for calculating fundamental groups in ([Po2]) makes crucial use of Gaboriau's work in OE ergodic theory.

In turn, the approach in this paper leads to a direct calculation of fundamental group of factors, through purely von Neumann algebra techniques, without using OE ergodic theory. Even more so, it provides new calculations of fundamental groups of equivalence relations, not covered by ([Ga1,2], [Fu1,2], [MoSh]).

We already pointed out that Theorem 0.1 implicitly gives an OE strong rigidity result. We mention two more OE rigidity results that we can derive from 0.1 (see Section 7 for more applications). The first one concerns embeddings of equivalence relations and it can be viewed as a Galois-type correspondence between w-rigid subequivalence relations of a Bernoulli G-action and w-rigid subgroups of G:

0.5. Theorem (OE Strong Rigidity for Embeddings). *Let* $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ *be a Bernoulli shift action of an ICC group* G*. If* σ_0 *is a free ergodic m.p. action of a w-rigid ICC group* G_0 *(or of a group* $G_0 \in w\mathcal{T}_0$*) on* (X_0, μ_0) *and* $\Delta_0 : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (X, \mu)$ *satisfies* $\Delta_0(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}) \subset \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ *then there exists an isomorphism* $\delta : G_0 \simeq G_0' \subset G$ *and* $\alpha \in \text{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ *such that* $\Delta = \alpha \circ \Delta_0$ *satisfies* $\Delta \circ \sigma_0(h) = \sigma(\delta(h))\Delta$*,* $\forall h \in G_0$*.*

If we restrict ourselves from w-rigid to Kazhdan groups, one can deduce from Theorem 0.1 an "OE rigidity" result where all conditions on the actions and on the groups involved are on just "one side", a type of result labelled "OE superrigidity" in ([MoSh]):

0.6. Theorem (OE Superrigidity). *Let* G *be an ICC property* (T) *group and* $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ *a Bernoulli shift action. Let* G_0 *be any group and* $\sigma_0 : G_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X_0, \mu_0)$ *any free m.p. action of* G_0*. If* $\Delta_0 : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (Y, \mu_{|Y})$ *satisfies* $\Delta_0(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}) = \mathcal{R}_\sigma^Y$*, for some subset* $Y \subset X$ *of positive measure, then* $Y = X$ *and* *there exist* $\alpha \in \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$, $\delta : G_0 \simeq G$, $\Delta : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (X, \mu)$ *satisfying* $\sigma(\delta(h))\Delta = \Delta\sigma_0(h)$, $\forall h \in G_0$, *such that* $\Delta_0 = \alpha \circ \Delta$. *In particular, if* $Y \subset X$ *has measure* $0 \neq \mu(Y) < 1$ *then the equivalence relation* $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^Y$ *cannot be realized as orbits of a free action of a group.*

OE rigidity results were pioneered by Zimmer in the early 80's (see [Zi]). Furman revived this direction, establishing further, sweeping OE rigidity results for classic actions of higher rank lattices ([Fu1]). Most recently, Monod and Shalom proved surprising "OE strong rigidity and superrigidity" results for a completely new class of groups (e.g. products of torsion free non-elementary hyperbolic groups) under very general ergodicity conditions on the actions ([MoSh]). The above applications bring additional insight to this fascinating subject.

A few words on the proofs: It is the sub s-malleability (+ mixing) condition on σ and the "weak property (T)" (w-rigidity) of G_0 that were used in ([Po4]) to prove that any $\theta : M_0 \simeq pMp$ as in Theorem 0.1 can be perturbed by an inner automorphism of pMp so that to take the group von Neumann algebra $L(G_0)$, generated by G_0 in M_0, into a corner of the group von Neumann algebra $L(G)$, generated by G in M. This preliminary rigidity result is crucial for the analysis in this paper. It reduces the proof of Theorem 0.1 to settling the case $M_0 = pMp$, $p \in L(G)$, $L(G_0) \subset pL(G)p$. We in fact prove a much more general statement, which only requires σ clustering, letting G, G_0, σ_0 arbitrary:

0.7. Theorem (Criterion for Conjugacy of Actions). *Let* $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X, \mu)$, $\sigma_0 : G_0 \to \mathrm{Aut}(X_0, \mu_0)$ *be free, ergodic, m.p. actions. Denote* $A = L^\infty(X, \mu)$, $M = A \rtimes_\sigma G$, $A_0 = L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0)$, $M_0 = A_0 \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$. *Let* $L(G) \subset M$ *(respectively* $L(G_0) \subset M_0$*) be the von Neumann subalgebra generated by the canonical unitaries* $\{u_g\}_{g\in G} \subset M$ *implementing the action* σ *(resp.* $\{u_h^0\}_{h\in G_0} \subset M_0$ *implementing* σ_0*). Let also* $p \in L(G)$ *be a projection and assume:*

(a). σ *is clustering.*

(b). $pMp = M_0$, *with* $L(G_0)$ *contained in* $pL(G)p$.

Then p *is central in* $L(G)$, $\tau(p)^{-1}$ *is an integer,* $pL(G)p = L(G_0)$ *and there exist a normal subgroup* $K \subset G$ *with* $|K| = \tau(p)^{-1}$, *an* $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$*-invariant character* κ *of* K, *implementing a trivial* 2*-cocycle on* G/K, *and a unitary element* $u \in pL(G)p$ *such that:*

(i). $p = |K|^{-1}\Sigma_{k\in K}\kappa(k)u_k$ *and* $u_k p = \kappa(k)p, \forall k \in K$.

(ii). $uA_0u^* = A^K p$, *where* $A^K = \{a \in A \mid \sigma_k(a) = a, \forall k \in K\}$.

(iii). $\{u_g p \mid g \in G\} = \{uu_h^0u^* \mid h \in G_0\}$, *modulo multiplication by scalars. More precisely, there exist an isomorphism* $\delta : G_0 \simeq G/K$, *a lifting* $G/K \ni g \mapsto g' \in G$ *and a map* $\alpha : G_0 \to \mathbb{T}$ *such that* $\mathrm{Ad}(u)(u_h^0) = \alpha(h)u_{\delta(h)'}p$, $\forall h \in G_0$.

If in addition G is assumed ICC then $K = \{e\}$, $p = 1$ and δ is an isomorphism $\delta : G_0 \simeq G$.

Note that this result holds true even in the hyperfinite case, i.e. when G_0, G are amenable. In fact, if we apply it to the particular case $M_0 = M = R$, $G = G_0$ abelian, $p = 1$ and $L(G) = L(G_0)$ then conclusion (ii) alone gives an affirmative answer to a recent conjecture of Neshveyev and Størmer in ([NeSt]), but for the more restrictive class of clustering actions (e.g. Bernoulli shifts) rather than just weakly mixing, as formulated in ([NeSt]).

This Conjugacy Criterion is stated as Theorem 5.1 in the text. Its proof, which occupies most of the paper (sections 1-6), splits into two parts, using rather different techniques: Part I consists in proving a "Cartan Conjugacy Criterion" (Theorem 4.2 in the text), showing that assumptions $(a), (b)$ above imply A_0 can be unitary conjugate onto a corner of A. The proof, which takes Sections 1-4, utilises (A.1 in [Po2]), ultrapower algebra techniques and a careful "asymptotic analysis" of Fourier expansions $\Sigma_g a_g u_g$ for elements in $A \rtimes_\sigma G$. It is the only part that uses the *clustering* assumption on σ (see 1.1 below for the definition of clustering).

Part II of the proof of 0.7 consists in showing that if in addition to $(a), (b)$ we also assume A_0 can be unitary conjugate onto a corner of A, then $(i) - (iii)$ follow. This is Theorem 5.2 in the text. In fact, instead of (a) (i.e. the clustering condition on σ), for this result we only assume σ and σ_0 mixing. The proof takes Sections 5 and 6 and uses "local quantization" techniques, in the spirit of (A.1 in [Po9]).

Our results leave unsolved the problem of showing that a group measure space factor arising from a Bernoulli action of an ICC property (T) group has ALL its Cartan subalgebras unitary conjugate. Or at least showing that an isomorphism of group measure space factors M_0, M arising from actions $(\sigma_0, G_0), (\sigma, G)$ is sufficient to imply that if G has (T) then G_0 has (T). If true, then Theorem 0.1 would also imply a "vNE superrigidity"-type result for factors, similar to the OE superrigidity in Theorem 0.6.

The present article is an outgrowth of a paper with the same title and references (math.OA/0407137) that we have circulated since July 2004 and in which we only proved that an isomorphism $\theta : M_0 \simeq M^t$ with M_0, M satisfying $(*), (**)$ comes from an orbit equivalence of σ_0, σ^t. To derive from that the triviality of the fundamental group and rigidity results for factors, we had to make additional restrictions on the groups and rely on OE rigidity results of Gaboriau ([Ga]), Monod-Shalom ([MoSh]) and ([PoSa]). All these applications are now consequences of the "vNE Strong Rigidity" (0.1) and are shown is a greater generality.

1. Clustering properties for actions

The purpose of the first three sections is to lay down the necessary technical background for the proof of the Cartan Conjugacy Criteria in Section 4.

Thus, in this Section we define the "clustering" property for actions of groups and a related notion of "clustering coefficients" for sequences of elements in the corresponding cross-product algebras. The considerations we make in this Section, as well as in Sections 2 and part of 3, concern actions of groups by trace preserving automorphisms on arbitrary finite von Neumann algebras (N, τ) (τ denoting the fixed faithful normal trace on N). Starting with 3.5 though, the finite von Neumann algebra (N, τ) will always be abelian, a fact that will be emphasized by using the generic notation A instead of N (possibly with indices).

1.1. Definition. Let $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N, \tau)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a finite von Neumann algebra (N, τ). A pair $(N^0, \{B_n\}_n)$ consisting of a dense *-subalgebra $N^0 \subset N$ and a decreasing sequence of von Neumann subalgebras $\{B_n\}_n$ of N is a *clustering resolution* for σ if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1.1.1). For all $y \in N^0$ there exists m such that $E_{B_m}(y) = \tau(y)1$;

(1.1.2). For all m and all $y \in N^0$ there exists $F \subset G$ finite such that $\sigma_g(y) \in B_m$, $\forall g \in G \setminus F$;

(1.1.3). For all $m \geq 1$ and all $g \in G$ there exists n such that $\sigma_g(B_n) \subset B_m$.

An action σ is *clustering* if it has a clustering resolution.

1.2. Proposition. 1°. *If σ is clustering then for any $n \geq 1$ it satisfies the following n-mixing condition:*

$$\lim_{g_1,\dots,g_n \to \infty} |\tau(y_0 \sigma_{g_1}(y_1) ... \sigma_{g_n}(y_n)) - \tau(y_0)\tau(\sigma_{g_1}(y_1) ... \sigma_{g_n}(y_n))| = 0 \tag{1.2.1}$$

for all $y_0, y_1, ..., y_n \in N$.

2°. *If σ is either a commutative or a Connes-Størmer Bernoulli shift action then σ is clustering.*

Proof. 1°. By (1.1.1), and Kaplansky's density theorem, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|E_{B_n}(x) - \tau(x)1\|_2 = 0$, $\forall x \in N$. Thus, for all $x \in N$ we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\tau(E_{B_n}(x)x') - \tau(x)\tau(x')| = 0$ uniformly in $x' \in (N)_1$. Letting $x = y_0$, $x' = \sigma_{g_1}(y_1)...\sigma_{g_n}(y_n)$ and applying (1.1.2), it follows that

$$\lim_{g_1,\dots,g_n \to \infty} \tau(y_0 \sigma_{g_1}(y_1) ... \sigma_{g_n}(y_n)) = \tau(y_0)\tau(\sigma_{g_1}(y_1) ... \sigma_{g_n}(y_n)).$$

2°. Let $(\mathcal{N}_0, \varphi_0)$ be a von Neumann algebra with discrete decomposition. Assume σ is the $(\mathcal{N}_0, \varphi_0)$-Bernoulli shift action of G on $(\mathcal{N}, \varphi) = \overline{\bigotimes_{g \in G}}(\mathcal{N}_0, \varphi_0)_g$ given by $\sigma_h(\otimes_g x_g) = \otimes_g x'_g$, where $x'_g = x_{h^{-1}g}$. It also acts on the centralizer algebra $N = \mathcal{N}_\varphi$, by restriction.

Let S_n be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of G such that $\cup_n S_n = G$ and denote by $\mathcal{B}_n = \overline{\bigotimes_{g \in S_n^c}}(\mathcal{N}_0, \varphi_0)_g$, where $S_n^c = G \setminus S_n$. We claim that $N^0 = \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \otimes_g(\mathcal{N}_0, \varphi_0)_g \subset N$ (algebraic tensor product) and $B_n = \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{B}_n, n \geq 1$, give a clustering resolution for the action σ of G on $N = \mathcal{N}_\varphi$. Indeed, condition (1.1.1) is clearly satisfied by the definition and by the fact that $gh \in S_n^c$ as $g \to \infty$, $\forall h \in G$.

To check (1.1.2) note that if $y \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \overline{\bigotimes_{g \in S_n}}(\mathcal{N}_0, \varphi_0)_g$, for some large enough n, then for any m, $\sigma_g(y) \in B_m$ as $g \to \infty$.

To show that (1.1.3) is verified, consider the weakly dense subalgebra $B_n^0 = B_n \cap N^0$ of B_n and note that since $\cup_n S_n = G$, we have $g^{-1}S_k \subset S_n$ (equivalently $g(S_n^c) \subset S_k^c$) as $n \to \infty$. This implies that $\sigma_g(B_n^0) \subset B_k$ as $n \to \infty$. But for each n for which we have the above inclusion we also have $\sigma_g(B_n) \subset B_k$. Q.E.D.

We denote by $M = N \rtimes_\sigma G$ the cross-product von Neumann algebra associated to an action $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N, \tau)$ and by $\{u_g\}_g \subset M$ the canonical unitaries implementing the action σ. Also, we denote by $L(G)$ the von Neumann subalgebra of M generated by the unitaries $\{u_g\}_g$.

Let ω be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$, also viewed as a point in the Stone-Cech compactification of $\mathbb{N}$, $\omega \in \overline{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Note that a subset $V \subset \mathbb{N}$ in the ultrafilter ω corresponds in this latter case to a neighborhood of the point ω in the compact set $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$.

As usual, given a finite von Neumann algebra (B, τ) we denote by (B^ω, τ) its *ultrapower* algebra, i.e. the finite von Neumann algebra $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, B)/\mathcal{I}_\omega$, where $\mathcal{I}_\omega$ is the ideal of elements $x = (x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, B)$ with $\tau(x^*x) = 0$, the trace $\tau = \tau_\omega$ on $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, B)/\mathcal{I}_\omega$ being defined by $\tau((b_n)_n) = \lim_{n \to \omega} \tau(b_n) = 0$. For basic properties and results on ultrapower algebras see ([McD]).

We often identify B with the subalgebra of constant sequences in B^ω.

1.3. Definition. Let $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N, \tau)$ be a properly outer action with clustering resolution $(N^0, \{B_k\}_k)$. A sequence $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ has *clustering coefficients* with respect to $(N^0, \{B_n\}_n)$ (and to ω) if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ and $\forall m \geq 1$, $\exists V \in \omega$ such that if e_k denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(M)$ onto $L^2(\Sigma_g B_k u_g)$ then $\|e_m(\hat{x}_n) - \hat{x}_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall n \in V$. Note that if $x = (x_n)_n$ has clustering coefficients then any element in $x + \mathcal{I}_\omega$ also has clustering coefficients. Thus, this property passes to elements in $M^\omega = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)/I_\omega$.

1.3.' Notations. We denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the set of sequences $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ with clustering coefficients. We still denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the image in M^ω of the set of sequences with clustering coefficients. Also, we denote by $\mathcal{Y}$ the set of elements $(x_n)_n$ in M^ω with the property that $\lim_{n\to\omega} \|E_N(x_n u_g)\|_2 = 0$, $\forall g \in G$.

We denote by $N^\omega \rtimes_\sigma G$ the von Neumann subalgebra of M^ω generated by N^ω and the canonical unitaries $u_g, g \in G$ (regarded as constant sequences in M^ω). Also, we view the group von Neumann algebra $L(G) = \{u_g\}_g''$ as a subalgebra of M^ω, via the inclusion $M \subset M^\omega$.

1.4. Lemma. 1°. *$\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ are vector spaces.*

2°. *If $\{x^k\}_k \subset \mathcal{X}$ is convergent in the norm $\| \ \|_2$ to an element $x \in M^\omega$ then $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Also, the unit ball of $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. $\mathcal{Y}$) is complete in the norm $\| \ \|_2$ given by the trace τ on M^ω.*

Proof. 1° is trivial by the definitions.

Since M^ω is a II_1 factor ([McD]), $(M^\omega)_1$ is complete in the norm $\| \ \|_2$. Thus, the completeness of $(\mathcal{X})_1$ follows from the first part of 2°.

To prove the first part of 2°, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \geq 1$. Then there exists k such that $\|x - x^k\|_2 < \varepsilon/3$. Thus, if $x^k = (x_n^k)_n$, $x = (x_n)_n$, then there exists a neighborhood V' of ω such that $\|x_n^k - x_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon/3$, $\forall n \in V'$. But since $x^k \in \mathcal{X}$, it follows that there exists a neighborhood V'' of ω such that $\|e_m(\hat{x}_n^k) - \hat{x}_n^k\|_2 \leq \varepsilon/3$, $\forall n \in V''$. Hence, if we denote $V = V' \cap V''$ then for $n \in V$ we have:

$$\|e_m(\hat{x}_n) - \hat{x}_n\|_2 \leq \|e_m(\hat{x}_n) - e_m(\hat{x}_n^k)\|_2$$

$$+\|e_m(\hat{x}_n^k) - \hat{x}_n^k\|_2 + \|\hat{x}_n^k - \hat{x}_n\|_2 \leq 3\varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon.$$

This shows that $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

The proof that $\mathcal{Y} \cap (M^\omega)_1$ is complete is similar. Q.E.D.

1.5. Lemma. *$\mathcal{Y} = M^\omega \ominus (N^\omega \rtimes G)$. Equivalently, if $x \in M^\omega$ then $x \in \mathcal{Y}$ iff $E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x) = 0$. Also, $L(G)^\omega \cap \mathcal{Y} = L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G)$.*

Proof. This is trivial by the definitions. Q.E.D.

2. Multiplicative properties of clustering sequences

Unless otherwise specified, throughout this section σ denotes a clustering action of G on (N, τ) with clustering resolution $(N^0, \{B_n\}_n)$, as in Definition 1.1. Also, $\mathcal{X}$ denotes its associated set of sequences with clustering coefficients, as in 1.3'. We prove here some multiplicative properties for $\mathcal{X}$.

2.1. Lemma. 1°. *$\mathcal{X} \cap N^\omega$ is equal to the von Neumann algebra $\cap_m B_m^\omega$. Also, $E_{N^\omega}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{X}$.*

2°. *$\mathcal{X}$ is a $L(G) - L(G)^\omega$ bimodule.*

Proof. 1°. We clearly have $\cap_m B_m^\omega \subset \mathcal{X} \cap N^\omega$, by the definitions. Conversely, if $x = (x_n)_n \in N^\omega$ belongs to $\mathcal{X}$ then for any $m \geq 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood V of ω such that $\|E_{B_m}(x_n) - x_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall n \in V$. But this implies $\|E_{B_m^\omega}(x) - x\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ and since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this shows that $x \in B_m^\omega$. Since m was arbitrary as well, $x \in \cap_m B_m^\omega$.

Since for $x = (x_n)_n \in M^\omega$ we have $E_{N^\omega}(x) = (E_N(x_n))_n$, the last part is now clear by the first part and the definitions.

2°. Let $x = (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y = (y_n)_n \in L(G)^\omega$, with $y_n \in (L(G))_1, \forall g$. By the definition 1.3, for any $m \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood V of ω and $\{\xi_n\}_n \subset L^2(\Sigma_g B_m u_g)$ such that $\|\hat{x}_n - \xi_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall n \in V$. But then $\eta_n = \xi_n y_n$ belongs to $L^2(\Sigma B_m u_g)$ and $\|x_n\hat{y}_n - \eta_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall n \in V$. Thus, $xy \in \mathcal{X}$.

To prove that $\mathcal{X}$ is a left $L(G)$-module, by 1.4 it is sufficient to show that if $x \in \mathcal{X}$ then $u_g x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\forall g \in G$. By Definition 1.3, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any m there exist m_0, $V \in \omega$ and $\xi_n' \in L^2(\Sigma_g B_{m_0} u_g)$ such that $\sigma_g(B_{m_0}) \subset B_m$ and $\|\hat{x}_n - \xi_n'\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall n \in V$. But then we have $u_g \xi_n' \in L^2(\Sigma_g B_m u_g)$. Thus, $\|u_g \hat{x}_n - u_g \xi_n'\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall n \in V$. This shows that $(u_g x_n)_n \in \mathcal{X}$. Q.E.D.

2.2. Lemma. *The unitaries $u_g, g \in G$, normalize $\mathcal{X} \cap N^\omega = \cap_m B_m^\omega$ and $\mathcal{X} \cap (N^\omega \rtimes G)$ is equal to the von Neumann algebra $(\cap_m B_m^\omega) \rtimes G$, generated by $\cap_m B_m^\omega$ and $\{u_g\}_g$. Also, $E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. By (1.1.3) and Definition 1.3, we clearly have $u_g(\cap_m B_m^\omega)u_g^* = \cap_m B_m^\omega$. By 2.1.2°, the *-algebra generated by $\cap B_m^\omega$ and $\{u_g\}_g$ is contained in $\mathcal{X}$. Conversely, if $x = (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{X} \cap (N^\omega \rtimes G)$ then $x = \Sigma_g E_{N^\omega}(xu_g^*)u_g$ and by 2.1.1° each $E_{N^\omega}(xu_g^*), g \in G$, follows in $\mathcal{X} \cap N^\omega = \cap_m B_m^\omega$. Thus, $x \in (\cap_m B_m^\omega) \rtimes G$.

To see that if $x \in \mathcal{X}$ then $E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x) \in \mathcal{X}$, note that $E_{N^\omega}(xu_g^*)u_g \in (\cap_m B_m^\omega) \rtimes G$, so by taking finite sums and limits we get $E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x) \in L^2((\cap_m B_m^\omega) \rtimes G)$, implying that $E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x) \in (\cap_m B_m^\omega) \rtimes G \subset \mathcal{X}$. Q.E.D.

2.3. Corollary. *If $x \in \mathcal{X}$, then $x - E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x) \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$.*

Proof. By the last part of 2.2 we have $x - E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x) \in \mathcal{X}$ while by 1.5 we have $x - E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x) \in \mathcal{Y}$. Q.E.D.

2.4. Lemma. *$\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ is a right M-module, i.e., if $x \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ then $xM \subset \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$. In particular, $(L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G))M \subset \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. By 1.4 it is sufficient to prove that $xy \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ for $y \in N^0 \cup \{u_g\}_g$. The case $y = u_g$ is trivial by the definitions. Let $y \in N^0, \|y\| \leq 1$. Then clearly $xy \in \mathcal{Y}$. To show that $xy \in \mathcal{X}$ as well, let $m \geq 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$. By Definition 1.1 there exists $F \subset G$ finite such that $\sigma_g(y) \in B_m$, $\forall g \in G \setminus F$. On the other hand, by 1.3, since $x \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists a neighborhood V of ω so that $\|e_m(\hat{x}_n) - \hat{x}_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon/2$, $\forall n \in V$. Also, since $x \in \mathcal{Y}$, V can be chosen so that if $x_n = \Sigma_g y_{n,g} u_g$, with $y_{n,g} \in N$, then $\|y_{n,g}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon/3|F|^{1/2}$, $\forall n \in V$. All this entails the following estimates:

$$\|e_m(\hat{x_n y}) - \hat{x_n y}\|_2^2 = \Sigma_{g \in G} \|E_{B_m}(y_{n,g}\sigma_g(y)) - y_{n,g}\sigma_g(y)\|_2^2$$

$$= \Sigma_{g \in F} \|E_{B_m}(y_{n,g}\sigma_g(y)) - y_{n,g}\sigma_g(y)\|_2^2$$

$$+ \Sigma_{g \in G \setminus F} \|E_{B_m}(y_{n,g}\sigma_g(y)) - y_{n,g}\sigma_g(y)\|_2^2$$

$$\leq 4\Sigma_{g \in F} \|y_{n,g}\|_2^2 + \Sigma_{g \in G \setminus F} \|(E_{B_m}(y_{n,g}) - y_{n,g})\sigma_g(y)\|_2^2$$

$$< \varepsilon^2/2 + \|e_m(\hat{x}_n) - \hat{x}_n\|_2^2 < \varepsilon^2.$$

Thus $xy \in \mathcal{X}$. Q.E.D.

While by 2.4 $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ is invariant to multiplication by M from the right, the next result shows that multiplication from the left by elements in $M \ominus L(G)$ takes the space $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ perpendicular to $\mathcal{X}$:

2.5. Lemma. *$(M \ominus L(G))(\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}) \perp \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. Let $x = (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$, $y \in M$ with $E_{L(G)}(y) = 0$. We have to show that $\lim_{n \to \omega} \tau(z_n^* y x_n) = 0$, $\forall z = (z_n)_n \in \mathcal{X}$.

By linearity and Kaplansky's density theorem it is clearly sufficient to prove the statement for y of the form $y = y_g u_g$ for some $g \in G$ and $y_g \in N^0, \tau(y_g) = 0$. Also, since by 2.1 we have $u_g(\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}) \subset \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$, by replacing $(x_n)_n$ by $(u_g x_n)_n$ it follows that it is in fact sufficient to prove the statement for $y \in N^0$ with $\tau(y) = 0$. In addition, we may assume $\|y\| \leq 1, \|x\| \leq 1, \|z\| \leq 1$.

Since $e_m(\hat{y}) = \hat{E_{B_m}(y)}$, we have $e_m(y\xi) = E_{B_m}(y)\xi$ for any $\xi \in L^2(\Sigma_g B_m u_g)$. As $y \in N^0$ and $\tau(y) = 0$, by (1.1.1) there exists m such that $E_{B_m}(y) = 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $x, z \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists a neighborhood V of ω so that $\|e_m(\hat{x}_n) - \hat{x}_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon/2$ and $\|e_m(\hat{z}_n) - \hat{z}_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon/2, \forall n \in V$. But then, for each $n \in V$ we have the estimates:

$$|\tau(z_n^* y x_n)| \leq |\langle y e_m(\hat{x}_n), e_m(\hat{z}_n)\rangle|$$

$$+ \|\hat{z}_n - e_m(\hat{z}_n)\|_2 \|y x_n\|_2 + \|e_m(\hat{z}_n)\|_2 \|y \hat{x}_n - y e_m(\hat{x}_n)\|_2$$

$$\leq |\langle y e_m(\hat{x}_n), e_m(\hat{z}_n)\rangle| + \varepsilon = \varepsilon,$$

where for the last equality we have used

$$\langle ye_m(\hat{x}_n), e_m(\hat{z}_n)\rangle = \langle e_m(ye_m(\hat{x}_n)), e_m(\hat{z}_n)\rangle = \langle E_{B_m}(y)e_m(\hat{x}_n), e_m(\hat{z}_n)\rangle = 0.$$

Q.E.D.

Since $L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G) \subset \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$, Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 imply that $(M \ominus L(G))(L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G)) \perp (L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G))M$. This orthogonality holds in fact true in a larger generality:

2.6. Lemma. *Let σ be a free, mixing action of a discrete group G on a finite von Neumann algebra (N, τ) and denote $M = A \rtimes_\sigma G$. If $(x_n)_n, (y_n)_n \in L(G)$ are bounded sequences that weakly converge to 0, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(x_n^* a^* y_n b) = 0$ for all $a, b \in M \ominus L(G)$. Thus, $(M \ominus L(G))(L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G)) \perp (L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G))M$.*

Proof. We may assume $\|x_n\|, \|y_n\| \leq 1, \forall n$. By linearity and Kaplansky's density theorem, it is sufficient to prove the statement for $a = a_0 u_g, b = b_0 u_h$, where $g, h \in G$ and $a_0, b_0 \in N$. Also, since $(u_g x_n)_n, (y_n u_h)_n$ are still converging weakly to 0, it follows that it is in fact sufficient to prove the statement for $a, b \in N$ with $\tau(a) = \tau(b) = 0$, $\|a\|, \|b\| \leq 1$. For such a, b, if we let $x_n = \Sigma_g c_g^n u_g$, $y_n = \Sigma_g d_g^n u_g$, $c_g^n, d_g^n \in \mathbb{C}$, be the Fourier expansions, then $\tau(x_n^* a^* y_n) = \Sigma_{g\in G} c_g^n \overline{d_g^n} \tau(a^* \sigma_g(b))$, with the sum being $\ell^1(G)$ convergent.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since σ is mixing and $\tau(a) = 0$, there exists a finite subset $F \subset G$ such that $|\tau(a^* \sigma_g(b))| \leq \varepsilon/2$, $\forall g \in G \setminus F$. By the weak convergence of the sequences $(x_n)_n, (y_n)_n$, there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $\Sigma_{g\in F} |c_g^n \overline{d_g^n}| \leq \varepsilon/2$, $\forall n \geq n_0$. Altogether, for $n \geq n_0$ we get the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(x_n^* a^* y_n)| &= |\Sigma_{g\in G} c_g^n \overline{d_g^n} \tau(a^* \sigma_g(b))| \\ &\leq \Sigma_{g\in F} |c_g^n \overline{d_g^n}| \|a\| \|b\| + \Sigma_{g\notin F} |c_g^n \overline{d_g^n}| |\tau(a^* \sigma_g(b))| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + (\varepsilon/2) \Sigma_{g\notin F} |c_g^n \overline{d_g^n}| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

3. Clustering algebras associated to pairs of actions

Let $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N, \tau)$ be a properly outer clustering action with clustering resolution $(N^0, \{B_n\}_n)$, as in Sections 1, 2. In this Section we assume that for a non-zero projection $p \in L(G) = \{u_g\}_g{}''$ the reduced algebra $M_0 = pMp$ has another cross-product decomposition $M_0 = N_0 \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$, for some properly outer action $\sigma_0 : G_0 \to \mathrm{Aut}(N_0, \tau)$.

3.1. Notation. We denote $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X} \cap (N_0' \cap N_0^\omega)$. Note that by 1.4.1°, $\mathcal{X}_0$ is a vector subspace of $pM^\omega p$. We show that in fact :

3.2. Theorem. *$\mathcal{X}_0$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $p(N^\omega \rtimes G)p$.*

Proof. We first prove that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists y_0 \in \mathcal{U}(N_0)$ such that $\|E_{L(G)}(y_0)\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$. Indeed, for if not then $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that if we denote by $(\langle M, e_{L(G)}\rangle, Tr)$ the basic construction algebra corresponding to the inclusion $L(G) \subset M$, then

$$Tr(e_{L(G)} y e_{L(G)} y^*) = \|E_{L(G)}(y)\|_2^2 \geq \varepsilon_0^2, \forall y \in \mathcal{U}(N_0).$$

Taking weak limits of convex combinations of elements of the form $y e_{L(G)} y^*$, for $y \in \mathcal{U}(N_0)$, it follows that $Tr(e_{L(G)} a) \geq \varepsilon_0$ for any $a \in K = \overline{\text{co}}^w\{y e_{L(G)} y^* \mid y \in \mathcal{U}(N_0)\}$. In particular, $Tr(e_{L(G)} a_0) \geq \varepsilon_0^2$ for a_0 the unique element of minimal norm $\| \cdot \|_{2,Tr}$ in K. Thus, $a_0 \neq 0$. But $y a_0 y^* \in K$ and $\|y a_0 y^*\|_{2,Tr} = \|a_0\|_{2,Tr}$, $\forall y \in \mathcal{U}(N_0)$, so by the uniqueness of a_0 we have $y a_0 y^* = a_0, \forall y \in \mathcal{U}(N_0)$, showing that $a_0 \in N_0' \cap \langle M, e_{L(G)}\rangle$, $0 \leq a_0 \leq 1, Tr(a_0) \leq Tr(e_{L(G)}) = 1$, $a_0 \neq 0$.

By (Theorem 2.1 in [Po4]), this implies there exists a non-zero projection $q \in N_0$, a projection $p \in L(G)$, a unital isomorphism ψ of qN_0q into $pL(G)p$ and a partial isometry $v \in M$ such that $vv^* = q$, $v^*v \in \psi(qN_0q)' \cap pL(G)p$ and $xv = v\psi(x)$, $\forall x \in qN_0q$. Moreover, by shrinking q if necessary, we may assume the central trace of q in N_0 is a scalar multiple of a central projection of N_0 (any projection in N_0 majorizes a projection with this latter property).

But σ is mixing and $\psi(qN_0q)$ is a subalgebra without atoms in $L(G)$, so by (Theorem 3.1 in [Po4]) it follows that $\psi(qN_0q)' \cap pL(G)p \subset L(G)$. Thus, $p_0 = v^*v \in L(G)$ and $v^*N_0v \subset p_0L(G)p_0$. Moreover, by applying again (3.1 in [Po4]) it follows that the normalizer of v^*N_0v in p_0Mp_0 is included in $p_0L(G)p_0$. This is a contradiction, since by (3.5.2° in [Po4]) the normalizer of v^*N_0v in p_0Mp_0 generates all p_0Mp_0 (because qN_0q is regular in qMq, due to the fact that N_0 is regular in M).

Let now $x = (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{X}_0 \subset \mathcal{X}$ and denote $x' = x - E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x)$. By Lemma 2.3, x' lies in $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $y_0 \in \mathcal{U}(N_0)$ such that $\|E_{L(G)}(y_0)\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$. Since $[y_0, x] = 0$ and $y_0 \in M \subset N^\omega \rtimes G$, it follows that $[y_0, E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x)] = 0$. Thus, $[y_0, x'] = 0$.

But by Lemma 2.4 we have $x'y_0 \in \mathcal{X}$, while by Lemma 2.5 we have $(y_0 - E_{L(G)}(y_0))x' \perp \mathcal{X}$. In particular, $(y_0 - E_{L(G)}(y_0))x'$ is perpendicular to $x'y_0$. Thus, the commutation relation $y_0x' = x'y_0$ entails the equation

$$x'y_0 - (y_0 - E_{L(G)}(y_0))x' = E_{L(G)}(y_0)x',$$

with the vectors $x'y_0, (y_0 - E_{L(G)}(y_0))x'$ perpendicular. By Pythagora's Theorem, and using that y_0 is a unitary element, we get

$$\|x'\|_2 = \|x'y_0\|_2 \leq \|E_{L(G)}(y_0)x'\|_2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, $x' = 0$. Thus $x = E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x) \in N^\omega \rtimes G$. But this also shows that $\mathcal{X}_0 = (\mathcal{X} \cap (N^\omega \rtimes G)) \cap (N_0' \cap N_0^\omega)$. Since by Lemma 2.2 $\mathcal{X} \cap (N^\omega \rtimes G)$ is a weakly closed *-algebra, this shows that $\mathcal{X}_0$ is a von Neumann algebra as well. Q.E.D.

The next Lemma and its Corollary will be needed in Section 4.

3.3. Lemma. *Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite subset $F \subset G$ such that if p_F denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(M^\omega)$ onto $L^2(\Sigma_{g\in F} N^\omega u_g)$ then for any $x \in (\mathcal{X}_0)_1$ we have $\|\hat{x} - p_F(\hat{x})\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Assume this is not the case. If $\{y_j\}_j$ is a $\| \quad \|_2$-dense sequence in $(N_0)_1$ and F_n is an increasing sequence of finite subsets of G with $\cup_n F_n = G$, then by the contradiction assumption and the definition of $\mathcal{X}_0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for each n there exists $x_n \in (N_0)_1$ with $\|[y_j, x_n]\|_2 \leq 1/n$, $\forall j \leq n$, $\|\hat{x}_n - p_{F_n}(\hat{x}_n)\|_2 \geq \varepsilon_0$ and $\|e_n(\hat{x}_n) - x_n\|_2 \leq 1/n$. (Like in Section 1, if $m \geq 1$ then e_m denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(M)$ onto $L^2(\Sigma_{g\in G} B_m u_g)$.)

But then $x = (x_n)_n \in (N_0^\omega)_1$ satisfies $[x, N_0] = 0$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\|x - E_{N^\omega \rtimes G}(x)\|_2 \geq \varepsilon_0$. Since the first two conditions imply $x \in \mathcal{X}_0$, the third condition contradicts Theorem 3.2. Q.E.D.

3.4. Corollary. *$(\mathcal{X}_0' \cap \langle M^\omega, N^\omega \rangle)_+$ contains non-zero finite projections.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, there exists $F \subset G$ finite such that $\|p_F(\hat{v})\|_2 \geq 1/2$, $\forall v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{X}_0)$. Note that $p_F = \Sigma_{g\in F} u_g e_{N^\omega} u_g^* \in \langle M^\omega, e_{N^\omega} \rangle$. Thus, if $\| \quad \|_{2,Tr}$ denotes the canonical trace on $\langle M^\omega, e_{N^\omega} \rangle$ (see for instance Sec. 2 in [Po4]) then we have:

$$Tr(p_F v p_F v^*) = \Sigma_{h,k\in F} \|E_{N^\omega}(u_h^* v u_k)\|_2^2$$

$$= \Sigma_{h,k\in F} \|E_{N^\omega}(v u_{kh^{-1}})\|_2^2 \geq \|p_F(v)\|_2^2 \geq 1/4.$$

Thus, if we take $a \in \langle M^\omega, e_{N^\omega} \rangle$ to be the unique element of minimal norm $\| \quad \|_{2,Tr}$ in $\overline{\text{co}}\{v p_F v^* \mid v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{X}_0)\}$ then $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $Tr(a) \leq |F| < \infty$ and $Tr(p_F a) \geq 1/4$. This implies $a \neq 0$ while by uniqueness we have $vav^* = a$, $\forall v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{X}_0)$. Thus, any spectral projection corresponding to an interval $[c, 1]$ with $c > 0$ small enough will do. Q.E.D.

The most important technical result of this section shows that if in addition to the general assumptions set forth at the beginning of this section (i.e. σ clustering, $pMp = M_0$, $pL(G)p \supset L(G_0)$) we also assume $pL(G)p \supset L(G_0)$ and N_0 abelian, then the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{X}_0$ is "large" in M^ω. More generally we have:

3.5. Theorem. *If* N_0 *is abelian and there exists an infinite subgroup* $G_0' \subset G_0$ *such that* $pL(G)p \supset L(G_0')$ *then* $\mathcal{X}_0' \cap pM^\omega p = N_0^\omega$.

Proof. Assume that $x = (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{X}_0' \cap pM^\omega p$ but $x \notin N_0^\omega$. Since N_0 is abelian, $N_0' \cap N_0^\omega = N_0^\omega$ so $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X} \cap N_0^\omega$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of N_0^ω (cf. 3.2) and $N_0^\omega \subset \mathcal{X}_0' \cap pM^\omega p$.

Thus, by replacing x by $x - E_{N_0^\omega}(x)$, we may assume $x \perp N_0^\omega$. We may further take $x_n = \Sigma_g y_{n,g} u_g^0$ with $y_{n,g} \in N_0, y_{n,e} = 0$, $\forall n$. Moreover, by Kaplansky's density theorem we may assume $y_{n,g} = 0$ for all $g \in G_0 \setminus F_n$, for some finite subsets $F_n \subset G_0$.

At this point we need the following immediate consequences of the results in Section 2:

3.6. Lemma. *If* σ *is clustering and* N_0 *is abelian, then for any sequence* $\{h_n\}_n \subset G_0'$ *that tends to infinity in* G_0' *and any* $y_0 \in N_0$*, we have* $\tilde{y}_0 = (\sigma_0(h_n)(y_0))_n \in \mathcal{X}_0$.

Proof. The sequence $(u_{h_n}^0)_n$ lies in $L(G)$ and tends weakly to 0, so $U = (u_{h_n}^0)_n \in L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G)$. By Lemma 2.4 we get $Uy_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and then by 2.1.2° we get $(Uy_0)U^* \in \mathcal{X}$. Since N_0 is abelian, it follows that $Uy_0U^* \in N_0' \cap N_0^\omega = N_0^\omega$ as well. Thus $\tilde{y}_0 = Uy_0U^* \in \mathcal{X}_0$. Q.E.D.

3.7. Lemma. *If* σ *is clustering and* N_0 *is abelian, then* $\sigma_{0|G_0'}$ *is* n*-mixing,* $\forall n \geq 1$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that if $y_0, y_1, ..., y_n \in N_0$ and $\{h_n^i\}_n \subset G_0'$ are sequences tending to ∞, $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \tau(y_0 \Pi_{1\leq i\leq n} \sigma_0(h_n^i)(y_i)) = 0$. This amounts to showing that $U_i = (u_{h_n^i}^0)_n \in M^\omega$ satisfy $\tau(y_0 Y) = \tau(y_0)\tau(Y)$, where $Y = \Pi_{1\leq i\leq n} U_i y_i U_i^*$.

As in the proof of 3.6, $h_n^i \to \infty$ implies $U_i \in L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G)$. Applying 3.6 and 3.2, we get $Y \in \mathcal{X}_0$. But $E_M(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathbb{C}1$, thus $E_M(Y) = \tau(Y)1$ and finally

$$\tau(y_0 Y) = \tau(E_M(y_0 Y)) = \tau(y_0 E_M(Y)) = \tau(y_0)\tau(Y).$$

Q.E.D.

Proof of 3.5 (*continuation*). Since σ_0 satisfies condition (1.2.1) for $n = 2$, by applying 1.3 and (1.2.1) to sequences of the form $(\sigma_0(h_n)(y_0))_n$ for $y_0 \in N_0$, $h_n \in G_0', h_n \to \infty$ (which by Lemma 3.6 belong to $\mathcal{X}_0$), it follows that for any finite sets $Y \subset N_0, F \subset G_0$, any $m, k \geq 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n > k$ such that

$$|\tau(y_0\sigma_0(h_n)(y_1)\sigma_0(gh_n)(y_2)) - \tau(y_0)\tau(\sigma_0(h_n)(y_1)\sigma_0(gh_n)(y_2))| \leq \varepsilon \tag{3.5.1}$$

for any $y_0, y_1, y_2 \in Y$, $g \in F$.

Let $q \in \mathcal{P}(N_0)$ with $\tau(q) = 1/2$ and let $F' \subset G_0'$ finite such that $\tau(q\sigma_0(g')(q)) \leq 9/32$ for $g' \in G_0' \backslash F'$ (this is possible because $\sigma_{0G_0'}$ is 2-mixing, hence mixing). Thus, $\tau(q\sigma_0(g')(q)) + \tau((1-q)\sigma_0(g')(1-q)) \leq 9/16$. Since σ_0 is a free action, there exists a finite partition $\{q_i\}_i \subset \mathcal{P}(N_0)$ refining $\{q, 1-q\}$ such that $\Sigma_i \tau(q_i\sigma_0(g')(q_i)) \leq 9/16$, for all $g' \in F', g' \neq e$. Thus, since

$$\Sigma_i \|q_i\sigma_0(g')(q_i)\|_2^2 = \Sigma_i \tau(q_i\sigma_0(g')(q_i))$$

$$\leq \tau(q\sigma_0(g')(q)) + \tau((1-q)\sigma_0(g')(1-q))$$

$$= \|q\sigma_0(g')(q)\|_2^2 + \|(1-q)\sigma_0(g')(1-q)\|_2^2,$$

altogether we get

$$\Sigma_i \|q_i\sigma_0(g')(q_i)\|_2^2 \leq 9/16, \forall g' \in G_0 \setminus \{e\} \tag{3.5.2}$$

Assume $k_1 < k_2 < ... < k_{n-1}$ are given. By applying (3.5.1) for $\varepsilon = 2^{-4}\|q_i\|_2^2\|y_{n,g}\|_2^2$, it follows that there exists $k_n > k_{n-1}$ large enough such that for all $g \in F_n$ and all $y_{n,g} \neq 0, g \in F_n$, we have:

$$\|(\sigma_0(h_{k_n})(q_i)\sigma_0(gh_{k_n})(q_i))y_{n,g}\|_2^2 \tag{3.5.3}$$

$$\leq (\|\sigma_0(h_{k_n})(q_i)\sigma_0(gh_{k_n})(q_i)\|_2^2 + 2^{-4}\|q_i\|_2^2)\|y_{n,g}\|_2^2$$

Since the supports F_n of the elements x_n do not contain e and since $g \in F_n$ implies $hgh^{-1} \neq e$ for all $h \in G_0$, by applying first (3.5.3) and then (3.5.2) we have the estimates:

$$\|x_n\|_2^2 = \|\Sigma_i(\sigma_0(h_{k_n})(q_i)x_n\sigma_0(h_{k_n})(q_i))\|_2^2$$

$$= \Sigma_i\Sigma_{g\in F_n}\|\sigma_0(h_{k_n})(q_i)y_{n,g}u_g^0\sigma_0(h_{k_n})(q_i)\|_2^2$$

$$= \Sigma_i\Sigma_{g\in F_n}\|(\sigma_0(h_{k_n})(q_i)\sigma_0(gh_{k_n})(q_i))y_{n,g}\|_2^2$$

$$\leq \Sigma_i\Sigma_{g\in F_n}(\|\sigma_0(h_{k_n})(q_i)\sigma_0(gh_{k_n})(q_i)\|_2^2 + 2^{-4})\|y_{n,g}\|_2^2$$

$$= \Sigma_{g\in F_n}(\Sigma_i\|q_i\sigma_0(h_{k_n}^{-1}gh_{k_n})(q_i)\|_2^2 + 2^{-4}\|q_i\|_2^2)\|y_{n,g}\|_2^2$$

$$\leq (10/16)\Sigma_{g\in F_n}\|y_{n,g}\|_2^2 = (5/8)\|x_n\|_2^2,$$

a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

3.8. Remark. Note that in the above we have actually proved the following more general result: Let σ_0 be a free action of an infinite discrete group G_0 on a finite von Neumann algebra (N_0, τ_0) and denote $M_0 = N_0 \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$. Assume σ_0 is *weakly* 2-*mixing*, i.e. $\forall F \subset N_0$ finite $\exists h_n \to \infty$ in G_0 such that

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} |\tau(y_0\sigma_0(h_n)(y_1)\sigma_0(h_m)(y_2)) - \tau(y_0)\tau(\sigma_0(h_n)(y_1)\sigma_0(h_m)(y_2))| = 0, \forall y_i \in F.$$

Let $x \in M_0^\omega$ be so that $E_{N_0^\omega}(x) = 0$. Then given any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition $\{q_i\}_i$ of 1 with projections in N_0 and a sequence $h_n \to \infty$ in G_0 such that the partition $\tilde{q}_i = (\sigma_0(h_n)(q_i))_n \in \mathcal{P}(N_0^\omega)$ satisfies $\|\Sigma_i \tilde{q}_i x \tilde{q}_i\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$.

4. Cartan conjugacy criteria

We now use Section 3 to prove a criterion for unitary (or inner) conjugacy of Cartan subalgebras. It shows that if M can be realized in two ways as a group measure space construction, $M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G = L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0) \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$, with σ clustering, then the unitary conjugacy of the group von Neumann algebras $L(G), L(G_0)$ entails the unitary conjugacy of the Cartan subalgebras $L^\infty(X, \mu)$, $L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0)$. In fact, in its most general form (see 4.2), this statement assumes only a "corner" of M to have a second group measure space decomposition $A_0 \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$, with merely $L(G_0') \subset pL(G)p$ for some infinite subgroup $G_0' \subset G_0$.

Combined with the result in ([Po4]), showing that if G is ICC, G_0 is w-rigid and σ is malleable then the unitary conjugacy of $L(G_0)$ into $L(G)$ holds true automatically, this result already allows us to show that modulo perturbation by inner automorphisms any $\theta : M_0 \simeq M$ must take the Cartan decompositions $L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0) \subset M_0$, $L^\infty(X, \mu) \subset M$ onto each other.

We fix the following general assumptions/notations, throughout the rest of the paper:

4.1. Notations. Let $\sigma : G \to \text{Aut}(A, \tau)$, $\sigma_0 : G_0 \to \text{Aut}(A_0, \tau)$ be free, ergodic actions of countable discrete groups G, G_0 on abelian von Neumann algebra (A, τ), (A_0, τ). Denote $M = A \rtimes_\sigma G$, $M_0 = A_0 \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$ and $L(G) \subset M$ (respectively $L(G_0) \subset M_0$) the von Neumann algebra generated by the canonical unitaries $\{u_g\}_{g\in G} \subset M$ (resp. $\{u_h^0\}_{h\in G_0} \subset M_0$) implementing the action σ (resp. σ_0).

4.2. Theorem. *With the notations* 4.1, *assume that σ is clustering and there exists a projection $p \in L(G)$ such that $pMp = M_0$, $pL(G)p \supset L(G_0')$, for some infinite subgroup $G_0' \subset G_0$. Then there exists a partial isometry $v \in M$ such that $v^*v = p$, $vv^* \in A$ and $vA_0v^* = Avv^*$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}_0 \subset pM^\omega p$ be defined as in Section 3. By its definition, $\mathcal{X}_0$ is contained in A_0^ω and by Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{X}_0$ is a von Neumann algebra. Also, by Theorem 3.5 we have $\mathcal{X}_0' \cap pM^\omega p = A_0^\omega$.

Due to Corollary 3.4, we are in the position of applying the "intertwining theorem" (A.1 in [Po2]). It follows that there exist non-zero projections $q = (q_n)_n \in A^\omega$, $p^0 = (p_n^0)_n \in A_0^\omega \subset pM^\omega p$ and a partial isometry $w = (w_n)_n \in M^\omega$ such that $q_n \in A, p_n^0 \in A_0$, $w_n^* w_n = p_n^0, w_n w_n^* = q_n$, $\tau(q_n) = \tau(p_n^0) = \tau(q)$, $\forall n$, and $wA_0^\omega w^* = A^\omega q$. This implies that for $\varepsilon = \tau(q)/2$ and large enough n we have $w_n A_0 w_n^* \subset_\varepsilon Ap_n^0$. Indeed, because if for each n we could find $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(w_n A_0 w_n^*)$ such that $\|E_{A^\omega}(u_n) - u_n\|_2 \geq \tau(q)/2$, then $u = (u_n)_n$ would satisfy $wuw^* \notin A^\omega$, contradicting $wA_0^\omega w^* \subset A^\omega$.

By taking $\tilde{A}_0 \subset M$ to be a Cartan subalgebra of M such that $p \in \tilde{A}_0$ and $A_0 = \tilde{A}_0 p$, and applying (Corollary 1 in [Po5]) to the Cartan subalgebras $A, \tilde{A}_0$ of M, it follows that there exists a partial isometry $v \in M$ with $v^*v = p$ and $vA_0v^* = Avv^*$. Q.E.D.

4.3. Remarks. If instead of (Corollary 1 in [Po5]) we use results from ([PoSiSm]), or some of Christensen's pioneering results on perturbations of subalgebras in II$_1$ factors ([Ch]), then the argument at the end of the proof of 4.2 shows the following: If A, A_0 are arbitrary maximal abelian *-subalgebras in a II$_1$ factor M such that A_0^ω, A^ω are unitary conjugate in M^ω then A_0, A are unitary conjugate in M.

In the next Section, we will prove that if in addition to the conditions in 4.2 we also assume G ICC, then the situation is in fact much more rigid: p must equal 1 and v, which follows a unitary, can be taken to satisfy $v\{u_h^0\}_h v^* = \{u_g\}_g$, modulo the scalars ! In particular, this will show that the inclusion $L(G_0) \subset pL(G)p$ must be an equality. We prove here a weaker result in this direction, showing that $A_0, pL(G)p$ follow mutually orthogonal (i.e., $E_{L(G)}(a_0) = 0$, $\forall a_0 \in A_0, \tau(a_0) = 0$; see [Po7]). This is needed in the proof of the criteria for conjugacy of actions in the next section (5.1, 5.2).

4.4. Lemma. *With the notations* 4.1, *assume that for some projection* $p \in L(G)$ *we have* $pMp \supset M_0$, $pL(G)p \supset L(G_0)$ *and there exists a partial isometry* $v \in M$ *such that* $v^*v = p$, $vA_0v^* = Avv^*$.

(*i*). *If* σ_0 *is weakly mixing then* A_0 *follows orthogonal to* $L(G)$.

(*ii*). *If* σ_0 *is weakly mixing and* σ *is mixing, then* σ_0 *follows mixing.*

Proof. (*i*). Note first that σ_0 weakly mixing implies that $\forall y \in A_0$ with $\tau(y) = 0$ there exist $h_n \in G_0$ such that $\sigma_0(h_n)(y)$ tends to 0 in the weak operator topology, as $n \to \infty$.

Assume there exists $y_0 \in A_0 \subset pMp$ such that $\tau(y_0) = 0$, $x_0 = E_{L(G)}(y_0) \neq 0$. Let $h_n \in G_0$ be so that $u^0_{h_n} y_0 u^{0*}_{h_n} = \sigma_0(h_n)(y_0)$ tends weakly to 0. Expecting on $L(G)$ and taking into account that

$$E_{L(G)}(u^0_{h_n} y_0 u^{0*}_{h_n}) = u^0_{h_n} E_{L(G)}(y_0) u^{0*}_{h_n} = u^0_{h_n} x_0 u^{0*}_{h_n},$$

it follows that the sequence $\tilde{x}_0 = (u^0_{h_n} x_0 u^{0*}_{h_n})_n \subset L(G)$ is convergent to 0 in the weak operator topology. Thus, as an element in the ultrapower algebra $L(G)^\omega$, $\tilde{x}_0$ belongs to $L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G)$, while $\|\tilde{x}_0\|_2 = \|x_0\|_2 \neq 0$.

But $A_0 = v^*Av$ implies $A_0^\omega = v^* A^\omega v$, and thus A_0^ω is contained in $A^\omega \rtimes G$, the von Neumann algebra generated by A^ω and the unitaries $u_g \in M$, $g \in G$, regarded as constant sequences in M^ω. Thus, if we denote $\tilde{y}_0 = (u^0_n y_0 u^{0*}_n)_n \in A_0^\omega$ then $\tilde{y}_0 \in A^\omega \rtimes G$. By commuting squares it follows that $E_{L(G)^\omega}(A^\omega \rtimes_\sigma G) = L(G)^\omega \cap A^\omega \rtimes_\sigma G \subset L(G)$. Thus $E_{L(G)^\omega}(\tilde{y}_0) \in L(G)$. On the other hand

$$E_{L(G)^\omega}(\tilde{y}_0) = (E_{L(G)}(u^0_n y_0 u^{0*}_n))_n = \tilde{x}_0 \in L(G)^\omega \ominus L(G).$$

This contradiction ends the proof of part (i).

(ii). Let $h_n \to \infty$ in G_0 and $a_i \in A_0$ with $\tau(a_i) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Since by (i) we have $A_0 \perp L(G)$, it follows that $\tau(a_2) = 0$ implies $a_2 \perp L(G)$. But then by 2.6 we get $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \tau(a_2 u^0_{h_n} a_1 u^{0*}_{h_n}) = 0$, showing that σ is mixing. Q.E.D.

Our last result in this section shows that under the same assumptions as in 4.5, the condition σ_0 mixing is in fact not much of a restriction:

4.5. Lemma. *With the notations* 4.1, *assume that for some projection* $p \in L(G)$ *we have* $pMp \supset M_0$, $pL(G)p \supset L(G_0)$. *Assume also that either* $pMp = M_0$ *or that there exists* $v \in M$ *partial isometry such that* $v^*v = p$, $vA_0v^* = Avv^*$. *If* σ *is mixing then there exist a normal subgroup* $G_1 \subset G_0$ *of finite index* n *and a projection* $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}(A_0)$ *of trace* $\tau(p_1) = \tau(p)/n$ *that commutes with* $\{u^0_h\}_{h\in G_1}$, *such that if* $A_1 \overset{\text{def}}{=} A_0p_1$, $\sigma_1(h) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sigma_0(h)_{|A_1}$, $u^1_h \overset{\text{def}}{=} u^0_h p_1, h \in G_1$, *then:*

(i). σ_1 *is free and mixing on* A_1 *and* $M_1 \overset{\text{def}}{=} p_1M_0p_1 = A_1 \vee \{u^1_h\}_{h\in G_1}''$ *is isomorphic to* $A_1 \rtimes_{\sigma_1} G_1$, *with* $\{u^1_h\}_h$ *as canonical unitaries implementing* σ_1.

(ii). $p_1Mp_1 \supset M_1$, *with equality iff* $pMp = M_0$; $p_1L(G)p_1 \supset L(G_1) = \{u^1_h\}_{h\in G_1}''$, *with equality iff* $pL(G)p = L(G_0)$. *Moreover, if* $v \in M$ *satisfies* $v^*v = p$, $vA_0v^* = Avv^*$ *then* $v_1 = vp_1 \in M$ *is a partial isometry satisfying* $v_1^*v_1 = p_1$, $v_1A_1v_1^* = Av_1v_1^*$.

Proof. If $B_0 \subset A_0$ is a finite dimensional σ_0-invariant subalgebra of A_0 then $\mathrm{Sp}L(G_0)B_0 = \mathrm{Sp}B_0L(G_0)$. Thus, (3.1 in [Po4]) applied to $Q_0 = L(G_0)p \oplus (1 -$

$p)L(G)(1-p) \subset A \rtimes_\sigma G$ implies that B_0 is contained in $L(G)$ and therefore in $pL(G)p$. This shows that the von Neumann subalgebra $B \subset A_0$ generated by all such $B_0 \subset A_0$ is contained in $pL(G)p$ as well. If B would be infinite dimensional then by the ergodicity of σ it follows diffuse. Since the normalizer of B in pMp contains both A_0 and $\{u^0_h \mid h \in G_0\}$, which together generate M_0, by applying again (3.1 in [Po4]) it follows that $M_0 \subset pL(G)p$. This gives an obvious contradiction when we assume $pMp = M_0$. If we assume instead that A_0 is conjugate to a corner of A by some partial isometry $v \in M$, then A_0 would contain an orthonormal family of unitary elements that are perpendicular to A (the powers of any generating Haar unitary for B would do), contradicting (A.1 in [Po2], or 2.1 in [Po4]).

Thus B must be finite dimensional and by the ergodicity of σ_0 all its minimal projections have the same trace. Let $n = \dim(B)$ and choose $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ a minimal projection. Let also $G_1 = \{h \in G_0 \mid \sigma_0(h)(b) = b, \forall b \in B\}$. It is immediate to check that all conditions $(i), (ii)$ are satisfied. Q.E.D.

5. Conjugacy criteria for actions

We now prove a very general conjugacy (or isomorphism) criterion for actions of groups. Thus, we show that under very general conditions if a group measure space factor M has two crossed product decompositions $M = A \rtimes_\sigma G = A_0 \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$ in a way that the group algebras $L(G), L(G_0)$ coincide, then modulo conjugacy by an inner automorphism of M the two decompositions are identical ! The result is in fact surprisingly more general, requiring only the inclusion of one group algebra into the other and allowing amplifications.

We recall that if $K \lhd G$ is a normal subgroup and $\kappa \in \mathrm{Char}_G(K)$ is an $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$ invariant character of K then one associates to it the scalar 2-cocycle $\mu_\kappa \in \mathrm{H}^2(G/K, \mathbb{T})$ as follows: Denote by $g \mapsto g'$ a lifting of G/K into G then for $g_1, g_2 \in G/K$ put $\mu_\kappa(g_1, g_2) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \kappa(g_1' g_2'((g_1 g_2)')^{-1})$. It is easy to verify that μ_κ doesn't depend on the lifting and that it defines indeed a 2-cocycle on G/K.

5.1. Theorem. *With the general notations* 4.1*, assume σ is clustering and there exists a projection $p \in L(G)$ such that $pMp = M_0$, $pL(G)p \supset L(G_0)$. Then p is central in $L(G)$, $\tau(p)^{-1}$ is an integer, $pL(G)p = L(G_0)$ and there exist a normal subgroup $K \subset G$ with $|K| = \tau(p)^{-1}$, an* $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$*-invariant character κ of K, with trivial $\mu_\kappa \in H^2(G/K)$, and a unitary element $u \in pL(G)p$ such that:*

(i). $p = |K|^{-1}\Sigma_{k\in K}\kappa(k)u_k$ and $u_k p = \kappa(k)p, \forall k \in K$.

(ii). $uA_0u^* = A^K p$, where $A^K = \{a \in A \mid \sigma_k(a) = a, \forall k \in K\}$.

(iii). $\{u_g p \mid g \in G\} = \{u u^0_h u^* \mid h \in G_0\}$ modulo multiplication by scalars. More precisely, there exist an isomorphism $\delta : G_0 \simeq G/K$, a lifting $G/K \ni g \mapsto g' \in G$

and a map $\alpha : G_0 \simeq G/K \to \mathbb{T}$ *such that* $\mathrm{Ad}(u)(u^0_h) = \overline{\alpha(h)} u_{\delta(h)'} p$, $\forall h \in G_0$, *and* $\partial\alpha = \mu_\kappa$.

If in addition G *has no non-trivial finite normal subgroups (e.g.* G *ICC or torsion free) then* $K = \{e\}$, $p = 1$ *and* δ *is an isomorphism* $\delta : G_0 \simeq G$.

Proof. Since ICC groups have no normal subgroups other than $K = \{e\}$, the last part follows trivially from (i) and (iii).

By Theorem 4.2, the conditions in the hypothesis imply the existence of a partial isometry $v \in M$ with $v^*v = p$ and $vA_0v^* = Avv^*$. We are thus reduced to proving 5.1.$(i) - (iii)$ when this last condition is added to the list of assumptions. Notice also that by 3.7 the clustering condition on σ implies σ_0 mixing. We in fact prove the following more general result:

5.2. Theorem. *With the general notations* 4.1, *assume there exists a projection* $p \in L(G)$ *such that:*

(a). $p = 1_{M_0}$, $pMp \supset M_0$, $pL(G)p \supset L(G_0)$.

(b). *One of the following holds true:* $p = 1$, σ_0 *weakly mixing; or* p *arbitrary,* σ_0 *weakly mixing,* σ *mixing.*

(c). *There exists* $v \in M$ *such that* $v^*v = p$ *and* $vA_0v^* = Avv^*$.

Then $\tau(p)^{-1}$ *is an integer and there exist a subgroup* $G' \subset G$, *a normal subgroup* $K \subset G'$ *with* $|K| = \tau(p)^{-1}$, *a character* γ *of* G_0, *an* $\mathrm{Ad}(G')$*-invariant character* κ *of* K, *with trivial* $\mu_\kappa \in H^2(G'/K)$, *and a unitary element* $u \in pL(G)p$ *such that* $p' = upu^*$ *is central in* $L(G') = \{u_g \mid g \in G'\}''$ *and we have:*

(i). $p' = |K|^{-1}\Sigma_{k\in K}\kappa(k)u_k \in \mathcal{Z}(L(G'))$, $u_kp' = \kappa(k)p', \forall k \in K$.

(ii). $uA_0u^* = A^Kp' = p'Ap'$, *where* $A^K = \{a \in A \mid \sigma_k(a) = a, \forall k \in K\}$.

(iii). $\{u_gp' \mid g \in G'\} = \{uu^0_hu^* \mid h \in G_0\}$ *modulo multiplication by scalars. More precisely, there exist an isomorphism* $\delta : G_0 \simeq G'/K$, *a lifting* $G'/K \ni g \mapsto g' \in G'$ *and* $\alpha : G_0 \simeq G'/K \to \mathbb{T}$ *such that* $\mathrm{Ad}(u)(u^0_h) = \overline{\alpha(h)} u_{\delta(h)'} p'$, $\forall h \in G_0$, *and* $\partial\alpha = \mu_\kappa$.

If in addition $pMp = M_0$ *and* G *has no non-trivial finite normal subgroups (e.g.* G *is ICC or torsion free), then condition* (b) *is redundant,* $K = \{e\}$, $p = 1$, $G' = G$ *and* δ *is an isomorphism* $\delta : G_0 \simeq G$.

Proof. Let us first prove the last part of the statement, assuming we have proved $(i)-(iii)$ under conditions $(a)-(c)$. Thus, we are under the additional assumptions $pMp = M_0$ and G is ICC. By Lemma 4.5, there exists a subgroup $G_1 \subset G_0$ of index $n < \infty$ and a projection $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}(A_0)$ of trace $\tau(p_1) = \tau(p)/n$ that commutes with $\{u^0_h\}_{h\in G_1}$ such that if we denote $A_1 = A_0p_1$, $\sigma_1(h) = \sigma_0(h)_{|A_1}$ then σ_1 is mixing. But then conditions $(a)-(c)$ are satisfied for σ_1, G_1, p_1, $v_1 = vp_1$ instead of σ_0, G_0, p, v. Thus, by $(i)-(iii)$ there exist $G_1' \subset G$, with a finite normal subgroup $K_1 \subset G_1'$ satisfying $|K_1| = \tau(p_1)^{-1}$, and a unitary element $u_1 \in p_1L(G)p_1$ such that $p_1' = u_1p_1u_1^*$ is central in $L(G_1')$ and $u_1A_1u_1^* = A^{K_1}p_1' = p_1'Ap_1'$. Thus, after conjugation by u_1 we may assume p_1 satisfies $A_0 = A^{K_1}p_1 = p_1Ap_1$ and $L(G_1) = p_1L(G_1')p_1 = L(G_1')p_1$. But $\text{sp}L(G_1)A_1$ is dense in M_1 and $p_1(A \rtimes G_1')p_1 = \overline{\text{sp}}A^{K_1}L(G_1')p_1$. Since $p_1Mp_1 = M_1$, by expecting these equalities on $L(G)$ we get $L(G_1')p_1 = p_1L(G)p_1$, which in particular implies $[G : G'] < \infty$. But then G ICC implies G_1' ICC (indeed, for if G_1' would have a non-trivial element h with finite conjugacy class in G_1' then h would also have finite conjugacy class in G, due to $[G : G_1'] < \infty$). Thus $K_1 = \{e\}$ forcing $p_1 = 1$. From $L(G_1')p_1 = p_1L(G)p_1$ we also get $G_1' = G$ and δ follows an isomorphism because $K_1 = \{e\}$.

The proof of $(i)-(iii)$ will take the rest of this Section, as well as Section 6. The following observation will be used several times:

(5.2.0). If u' is a partial isometry in $L(G)$ with $u'^*u' = p$ and we substitute p by $u'u'^*$, $L(G_0)$ by $u'L(G_0)u'^*$, M_0 by $u'M_0u'^*$, v by vu'^* and A_0 by $u'A_0u'^*$ then conditions $(a)-(c)$ are still satisfied.

We first prove the result assuming the Fourier expansion $v = \Sigma_g a_gu_g$ is finitely supported, with all $a_g \in A$ having finite spectrum. Under this assumption the proof simplifies, allowing the ideas to become more transparent. The proof of the general case is postponed to the next section.

Since all a_g have finite spectrum and there are only finitely many of them, there exists $q \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{P}(A)$, $q \leq vv^*$, such that $qa_g = c_gq$, $\forall g$, for some scalars $c_g \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, if we denote $w = \Sigma_g c_gu_g$, then $w \in L(G)$ and $qw = qv$. Since $v^*(Aq)v \subset A_0$ it follows that $w^*qAqw \subset A_0$. But A is perpendicular to $L(G)$ and by Lemma 4.4 A_0 is also perpendicular to $pL(G)p$. Consequently,

$$\tau(q)/\tau(p)p = E_{L(G)}(v^*qv) = E_{L(G)}(w^*qw) = w^*E_{L(G)}(q)w = \tau(q)w^*w.$$

Hence, $u' = \tau(p)^{-1/2}w$ is a partial isometry in $L(G)$ with $u'^*u' = p$. Moreover, it satisfies $qvu'^* = qwu'^* = \tau(p)^{-1/2}u'u'^*$. Thus, by the remark at the beginning of the proof it follows that in addition to conditions 5.2.$(a)-(c)$ we may also assume:

$$qv = \tau(p)^{-1/2}qp \tag{5.2.1}$$

We will prove that in fact conditions 5.2.$(a)-(c)$ together with (5.2.1) imply 5.2.$(i)-(iii)$ for $u = p$.

Since u_h^0 normalizes A_0 and $vA_0v^* = Avv^*$, $vu_h^0v^*$ is in the normalizing groupoid of A. Thus $qvu_h^0v^*q$ is also in the normalizing groupoid of A, implying that $qvu_h^0v^*q = \Sigma_g a_g^h u_g$ for some partial isometries $a_g^h \in A$. On the other hand $u_h^0 \in L(G_0) \subset pL(G)p$, so $u_h^0 = \Sigma_g c_g^h u_g$ for some scalars c_g^h. Together with $qv = \tau(p)^{-1/2}qp$ this implies:

$$\Sigma_g a_g^h u_g = qvu_h^0v^*q = \tau(p)^{-1}qu_h^0q = \tau(p)^{-1}\Sigma_g c_g^h q\sigma_g(q)u_g. \tag{5.2.2}$$

Identifying the coefficients of the most left and right Fourier expansions in (5.2.2), it follows that $a_g^h = \tau(p)^{-1}c_g^h q\sigma_g(q)$, $\forall g$. In particular, if we denote $F^h = \{g \in G \mid a_g^h \neq 0\} = \{g \in G \mid c_g^h \neq 0, \sigma_g(q)q \neq 0\}$, then $g \in F^h$ implies $1 = \|a_g^h\| = \tau(p)^{-1}|c_g^h|$, and thus $|c_g^h| = \tau(p)$. Since $\tau(p) = \|u_h^0\|_2^2 = \Sigma_g |c_g^h|^2$, this also shows that $|F^h| \leq \tau(p)^{-1}$. Summarizing:

$$|c_g^h| = \tau(p), \forall g \in F^h; \Sigma_g |c_g^h|^2 = \tau(p); |F^h| \leq \tau(p)^{-1}. \tag{5.2.3}$$

When $p = 1$ then $qv = q$, $Aq = A_0q$, and (5.2.3) shows that if $F^h \neq \emptyset$ then F^h is a single point set $\{g(h)\}$, with $c^h = c_{g(h)}^h$ satisfying $|c^h| = 1$ and $u_h^0 = c^h u_{g(h)}$. Denote by $G_1 \subset G_0$ the subgroup of elements $h \in G_0$ with u_h^0 a scalar multiple of some $u_{g(h)}$. If $h_0 \in G_0$ is given, then by the weak mixing property of σ_0 there exists $h_n \in G_0$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(\sigma_0(h_n)(q)q) = \tau(q)^2$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(\sigma_0(h_nh_0)(q)q) = \tau(q)^2$. Noticing that for $h' \in G_0$ we have $F^{h'} \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow qu_{h'}^0q \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_0(h')(q)q \neq 0$, it follows that $h_n, h_nh_0 \in G_1$, which in turn implies $h \in G_1$. This shows that $G_0 = G_1$. Finally notice that $Aq = A_0q$ implies

$$A_0\sigma_0(h)(q) = u_h^0(A_0q){u_h^0}^* = u_{g(h)}(Aq)u_{g(h)}^* = A\sigma_{g(h)}(q) \tag{5.2.4}$$

and that by the ergodicity of σ_0 we have $1 = \vee_h \sigma_0(h)(q) = \vee_h \sigma_{g(h)}(q)$. This shows that $A = A_0$ and letting $G' = \{g(h) \mid h \in G_0\}$, 5.2.$(i) - (iii)$ follow trivially.

Assume now σ is mixing but p is arbitrary. Notice that if for some h we have $|F^h| = \tau(p)^{-1}$ then (5.2.3) forces F^h to be equal to the support set $S^h = \{g \in G \mid c_g^h \neq 0\}$ of the Fourier expansion $u_h^0 = \Sigma_g c_g^h u_g$, and $|c_g^h| = \tau(p), \forall g \in S^h$. We will prove that this is in fact the case for $\forall h \in G_0$, but first show it is true for all h outside a finite subset of G_0.

By the mixing property, there exists $E \subset G$ finite such that $\tau(\sigma_g(q)q) \geq \tau(q)^2/2$, $\forall g \in G \setminus E$. Define $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ to be the distance from $\tau(p)^{-1}$ to the closest integer $\neq \tau(p)^{-1}$. Since u_h^0 tends to 0 in the weak operator topology, as $h \to \infty$, there exists $E_0 \subset G_0$ finite such that $|c_g^h|^2 = |\tau(u_h^0 u_g^*)|^2 < \varepsilon_0\tau(p)^2/(2|E| + 2)$, $\forall g \in E$,

$\forall h \in G_0 \setminus E_0$. Thus, if $h \in G_0 \setminus E_0$ and $g \in G \setminus E$ then $g \in S^h \Leftrightarrow g \in F^h \Leftrightarrow |c^h_g| = \tau(p)$ (the last equivalence by (5.2.3)).

If for some $h \in G_0 \setminus E_0$ we have $|F^h| < \tau(p)^{-1}$, then from the above we get

$$\tau(p) = \Sigma_g |c^h_g|^2 = \Sigma_{g\in E} |c^h_g|^2 + \Sigma_{g\in F^h} |c^h_g|^2 \tag{5.2.5}$$

$$= \Sigma_{g\in E} |c^h_g|^2 + |F^h|\tau(p)^2 < \varepsilon_0 \tau(p)^2/2 + |F^h|\tau(p)^2$$

$$= (\varepsilon_0/2 + |F^h|)\tau(p)^2 \leq (\tau(p)^{-1} - \varepsilon_0/2)\tau(p)^2 = \tau(p) - \varepsilon_0\tau(p)^2/2,$$

a contradiction. We have thus shown that $\tau(p)^{-1}$ is an integer and that for $h \in G_0 \setminus E_0$ we have: S^h has $\tau(p)^{-1}$ elements; $|c^g_h| = \tau(p), \forall g \in S^h$. Conclusions (i) and (iii) in 5.2 follow then from the following general fact:

5.3. Lemma. *Let G be a discrete group, $p \in L(G) = \{u_g\}_g''$ a projection with $\tau(p) = 1/n$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{W} \subset pL(G)p$ denote the set of unitary elements $w \in pL(G)p$ such that $S^w = F^w$, where $S^w = \{g \in G \mid c^w_g \neq 0\}$, $F^w = \{g \in G \mid |c^w_g| = \tau(p)\}$, c^w_g being the coefficients of the Fourier expansion $w = \Sigma_g c^w_g u_g$. Assume $\mathcal{W} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{W}$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(pL(G)p)$ with neutral element equal to p and there exist a subgroup $G' \subset G$, a normal subgroup $K \subset G'$ with $|K| = n$ and an $\mathrm{Ad}(G')$-invariant character κ of K such that $p = n^{-1}\Sigma_{k\in K}\kappa(k)u_k$, $u_k p = \kappa(k)u_k$, $\forall k \in K$, and $\mathcal{W} = \{\alpha u_t p \mid t \in G', \alpha \in \mathbb{T}\}$. Moreover, if $G'/K \ni h \mapsto h' \in G'$ is a lifting, then $\{u_{h'}p \mid h \in G'/K\}$ is a copy of the projective left regular representation of G'/K with scalar 2-cocycle $\mu_\kappa \in \mathrm{H}^2(G'/K)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{W} \cdot \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U}(pL(G)p)$ and any $u \in \mathcal{U}(pL(G)p)$ satisfies $\tau(u^*u) = \tau(p)$, it follows that for all $w, w' \in \mathcal{W}$ we have:

$$|F^w| = n; \Sigma_g |c^w_g|^2 = \tau(p) = \Sigma_t |c^{ww'}_t|^2; \Sigma_g |c^w_g| = 1. \tag{5.3.1}$$

We also have

$$ww' = \Sigma_{t\in S^{ww'}}(\Sigma_{g\in S^w} c^w_g c^{w'}_{g^{-1}t})u_t = \Sigma_t c^{ww'}_t u_t,$$

and there are at most n^2 non-zero elements $c^{ww'}_t, t \in G$, and they satisfy the trivial inequalities

$$|c^{ww'}_t| \leq \Sigma_g |c^w_g||c^{w'}_{g^{-1}t}| \leq \tau(p) \tag{5.3.2}$$

$$\Sigma_t |c^{ww'}_t| \leq \Sigma_{g,g'} |c^w_g||c^{w'}_{g'}| = 1 \tag{5.3.3}$$

Moreover, the first inequality in (5.3.2) becomes equality iff $c_g^w c_{g^{-1}t}^{w'}, g \in G$, are all equal, when non-zero, while the second inequality in (5.3.2) becomes equality iff $g \mapsto g^{-1}t$ is a bijection from S^w to $S^{w'}$.

Notice that the maximum of the expression $\Sigma_{i=1}^{n^2} x_i^2$ over the set of n^2-tuples $\{(x_i)_i \mid 0 \leq x_i \leq 1/n, \forall i, \Sigma_j x_j \leq 1\}$ is equal to $1/n$ and it is attained when n of the x_i's are equal to $1/n$ and all others are equal to 0. Indeed, this is because

$$x_i^2 + x_j^2 \leq (\min\{x_i + x_j, 1/n\})^2 + (\max\{0, x_i + x_j - 1/n\})^2,$$

with equality if and only if $\{x_i, x_j\} = \{\min\{x_i + x_j, 1/n\}, \max\{0, x_i + x_j - 1/n\}\}$.

Applying this to $(x_i)_i = (|c_g^{ww'}|)_g$, it follows that $\Sigma_t |c_t^{ww'}|^2 \leq 1/n = \tau(p)$ with equality iff there are exactly n non-zero elements $c_t^{ww'}$ and they all have absolute value $\tau(p)$, i.e. iff $S^{ww'} = F^{ww'}$. Since (5.3.1) shows that the equality does hold true, it follows that $ww' \in \mathcal{W}$. In addition, the conditions for equality in (5.3.2) are verified, i.e.

$$(5.3.4) \qquad S^{w'} = (S^w)^* t, c_g^w c_{g^{-1}t}^{w'} = c_t^{ww'} \tau(p), \forall w, w' \in \mathcal{W}, t \in S^{ww'}, g \in S^w$$

Since we clearly have $\mathcal{W}^* = \mathcal{W}$, this shows that $p = ww^*$ belongs to $\mathcal{W}$ and that $\mathcal{W}$ is a group with p as neutral element. Denote $K = S^p$ and note that $K = K^*$. By (5.3.4) applied to $w = p = w'$, it follows that K is a group with n elements. Since p is a projection of the form $p = |K|^{-1} \Sigma_{k \in K} \alpha_k u_k$, with $\alpha_k = c_k^p / \tau(p)$ having absolute value 1, $\forall k \in K$, $\kappa(k) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_k, k \in K$, gives a character of K.

Also, by (5.3.4) applied first to $w = p$, then to $w' = p$, it follows that for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$ there exist $t, t' \in G$ with $S^w = Kt = t'K$. This implies $KtK = tK$, so t normalizes K. Thus, if we denote $G' = \{t \in G \mid \exists w \in \mathcal{W}, S^w = Kt\}$ then G' follows a group with $K \subset G'$ a normal subgroup. Moreover, w is a scalar multiple of pu_t and $[u_t, p] = 0, \forall t \in G'$. In particular, the character κ of K must be $\mathrm{Ad}(G')$-invariant and it satisfies $u_k p = \kappa(k) p, \forall k \in K$. The last part of the statement is now trivial, since $\tau(u_g p) = 0$, $\forall g \in G' \setminus K$ and the 2-cocycle $\mu_\kappa \in \mathrm{H}^2(G'/K)$ satisfies $u_{(h_1)'} p' u_{(h_2)'} p' = \mu_\kappa(h_1, h_2) u_{(h_1 h_2)'} p'$ by the way it is defined. Q.E.D.

We can now end the proof of 5.2 under the extra assumption that the Fourier expansion $v = \Sigma_g a_g u_g$ is finitely supported, with all a_g having finite spectrum. Thus, by the formula $p = \Sigma_{k \in K} \kappa(k) u_k$ it follows that $A^K = A \cap \{p\}'$ with p a Jones projection implementing the τ-preserving conditional expectation E_{A^K} of A onto A^K. Since $qv = \tau(p)^{-1/2} qp$, the projection $q \in A$ satisfies $pqp = E_{A^K}(q)p = \tau(p) q' p$ for some unique projection $q' \in A^K$. By (5.2.1) we thus get

$$(5.4.1) \qquad A_0 q' p = A_0(pqp) = A_0(v^* qv) = v^*(Aq)v = p(Aq)p = A^K q' p$$

The equality $A_0p = A^Kp$ in 5.2.(*ii*) follows now from this identity, the ergodicity of σ_0 on A_0 and the inclusion $\{u^0_h\}_{h\in G_0} \subset \{\alpha u_g p \mid g \in G', \alpha \in \mathbb{T}\}$, the same way we deduced the similar equality in the case $p = 1$ in (5.2.4). Part 5.2.(*iii*) is an immediate consequence of the last part of Lemma 5.3.

6. End of proof of 5.2

We now prove 5.2 in general, without any extra-assumption on the intertwiner $v = \Sigma_g a_g u_g$. The idea is to prove first an approximate version of condition (5.2.1), and then re-do steps (5.2.2) – (5.2.5) using it in-lieu of (5.2.1).

6.1. Lemma. *Let* $(A, \tau), G, \sigma, M = A \rtimes_\sigma G, \{u_g\}_g$ *be as in* 4.1*. Let* $p \in \mathcal{P}(L(G))$ *and assume* $v \in M$ *is a partial isometry with* $v^*v = p$*,* $vv^* \in A$ *and* v^*Av *perpendicular to* $L(G)$*. Then there exists a partial isometry* $u' \in L(G)$ *and a decreasing sequence of non-zero projections* $q_n \in Avv^*$ *such that* $u'^*u' = p$ *and*

$$\|qv - \tau(p)^{-1/2}qu'\|_2^2 < 2^{-n}\tau(q), \forall q \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n) \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. Let $v = \Sigma_g a_g u_g$ be the Fourier expansion of v in $M = A \rtimes_\sigma G$ and denote $f_0 = vv^* \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Since the infinite sum $\Sigma_g a_g a_g^*$ is increasing and tends to f_0 in the strong operator topology, or equivalently in $\|\cdot\|_1$, there exists $f \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{P}(Af_0)$ such that $\Sigma_g a_g a_g^* f$ is convergent to f in the uniform norm. Thus, there exists an increasing sequence of finite sets $F_n \subset G$ such that $\cup_n F_n = G$ and

$$\|\Sigma_{g\notin F_n} a_g a_g^* f\| \leq 2^{-n-3} \tag{6.1.1}$$

By the Gelfand-Neimark theorem $Af = C(\Omega)$ for some compact set Ω. Choose $\omega_0 \in \Omega$ and denote $c_g = a_g(\omega_0), g \in G$. Thus $\Sigma_g c_g\overline{c_g} = 1$, implying that $w = \Sigma_g c_g u_g$ belongs to $L^2(L(G)) = \ell^2(G)$. By the continuity of the functions $a_g \in C(\Omega)$ at ω_0, we can choose recursively $q_n \in \mathcal{P}(Af)$ (corresponding to open-closed neighborhoods of ω_0 in Ω) such that $q_n \leq q_{n-1}$ and

$$\|a_g q_n - c_g q_n\| \leq 2^{-n-2}|F_n|^{-1/2}, \forall g \in F_n \tag{6.1.2}$$

Using first the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and then (6.1.2) we get for any $q \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_{g\in F_n}\tau(\overline{c_g}(a_g - c_g)q)| &= |\Sigma_{g\in F_n}\tau(\overline{c_g}q(a_g - c_g)q)| \\ &\leq (\Sigma_{g\in F_n}\|c_g q\|_2^2)^{1/2}(\Sigma_{g\in F_n}\|(a_g - c_g)q\|_2^2)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.3}$$

$$\leq (\Sigma_{g\in F_n}|c_g|^2\tau(q))^{1/2}(\Sigma_{g\in F_n}2^{-2n-4}|F_n|^{-1}\tau(q))^{1/2}$$

$$\leq (\Sigma_{g\in G}|c_g|^2\tau(q))^{1/2}2^{-n-2}\tau(q)^{1/2} = 2^{-n-2}\tau(q).$$

Similarly, by first using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and then (6.1.1) we have:

$$|\tau(\Sigma_{g\notin F_n}\overline{c_g}a_gq)| \tag{6.1.4}$$

$$\leq (\Sigma_{g\notin F_n}|c_g|^2\tau(q))^{1/2}(\tau(\Sigma_{g\notin F_n}a_ga_g^*q))^{1/2} \leq 2^{-n-3}\tau(q).$$

Using (6.1.3) and (6.1.4) we finally obtain the estimates:

$$\|qw - qv\|_2^2 = 2\tau(q) - 2\mathrm{Re}\tau(qvw^*q) \tag{6.1.5}$$

$$= 2\tau(q) - 2\mathrm{Re}\tau(\Sigma_g\overline{c_g}a_gq) = 2\tau(q) - 2\mathrm{Re}\tau(\Sigma_{g\in F_n}\overline{c_g}a_gq) - 2\mathrm{Re}\tau(\Sigma_{g\notin F_n}\overline{c_g}a_gq)$$

$$= 2\tau(q) - 2\Sigma_{g\in F_n}c_g\overline{c_g}\tau(q) + 2\Sigma_{g\in F_n}\tau(\overline{c_g}(a_g - c_g)q) - 2\mathrm{Re}\tau(\Sigma_{g\notin F_n}\overline{c_g}a_gq)$$

$$\leq 2\tau(q) - 2\tau(q) + 2\cdot 2^{-n-3}\tau(q) + 2\cdot 2^{-n-2}\tau(q) + 2\cdot 2^{-n-3}\tau(q) = 2^{-n}\tau(q).$$

This shows that if we put $u' = \tau(p)^{1/2}w$ and q_n are chosen as above, then the inequality (6.1) is satisfied $\forall q \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n)$.

We still have to prove that ${u'}^*u' = p$. To this end, note that $w \in L^2(L(G))$ and $q_n \in Aq_n \perp L(G)$ implies $E_{L(G)}(w^*q_nw) = w^*E_{L(G)}(q_n)w = \tau(q_n)w^*w$ (these calculations make sense in $L^1(L(G))$). On the other hand, $v^*q_nv \in v^*(Aq_n)v \perp L(G)$ by hypothesis, so that we also have $E_{L(G)}(v^*q_nv) = (\tau(q_n)/\tau(p))p$. But by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (6.1) we have

$$\|E_{L(G)}(w^*q_nw - v^*q_nv)\|_1 \leq \|w^*q_nw - v^*q_nv\|_1$$

$$\leq \|w^*q_nw - w^*q_nv\|_1 + \|w^*q_nv - v^*q_nv\|_1$$

$$\leq \|w^*q_n\|_2\|q_nw - q_nv\|_2 + \|w^*q_n - v^*q_n\|_2\|q_nv\|_2$$

$$= 2\|q_nw - q_nv\|_2\|q_n\|_2 \leq 2^{-n+1}\tau(q_n).$$

Thus, for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\|\tau(q_n)/\tau(p))p - \tau(q_n)w^*w\|_1 = \|E_{L(G)}(w^*q_nw - v^*q_nv)\|_1 \leq 2^{-n+1}\tau(q_n)$$

implying that $u' = \tau(p)^{1/2}w$ satisfies $\|{u'}^*u' - p\|_1 \leq \tau(p)2^{-n+1}$, $\forall n$. Thus ${u'}^*u' = p$.
Q.E.D.

Let now $u' \in L(G)$ and $q_n \in \mathcal{P}(Avv^*)$ be as given by Lemma 6.1. Since for $q \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n)$ we have $\|qvu'^* - \tau(p)^{-1/2}qp\|_2 = \|qv - \tau(p)^{-1/2}qu'\|_2 \leq 2^{-n}\|q\|_2$, by the remark at the beginning of the proof of 5.2 in Section 5, we may assume there exists a decreasing sequence of non-zero projections $q_n \in Avv^*$ such that in addition to the assumptions 5.2.$(a)-(c)$ we also have:

$$\|qv - \tau(p)^{-1/2}qp\|_2 \leq 2^{-n}\|q\|_2, \forall q \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n). \tag{6.2}$$

We will prove that in fact conditions 5.2.$(a)-(c)$ together with (6.2) imply 5.2.$(i)-(iii)$ for $u = p$. In particular, when $p = 1$ this amounts to showing that $\{u^0_h\}_h \subset \{\alpha u_g \mid g \in G, \alpha \in \mathbb{T}\}$ and $A = A_0$.

As in Section 5, let $u^0_h = \Sigma_g c^h_g u_g$ be the Fourier expansion of $u^0_h \in L(G_0) \subset pL(G)p$, with $c^h_g \in \mathbb{C}$. Since u^0_h are unitaries in $pL(G)p$, we have $\tau(p) = \tau(u^0_h u^{0*}_h) = \Sigma_g |c^h_g|^2$, $\forall h \in G_0$. Note that $q_n u^0_h q_n = \Sigma_g c^h_g \sigma_g(q_n) q_n u_g$. We also consider the Fourier expansion $q_n v u^0_h v^* q_n = \Sigma_g a^{h,n}_g u_g$. Since the left term is in the normalizing groupoid of $Aq_n \subset q_n M q_n$, it follows that $a^{h,n}_g, g \in G$, (resp. $\sigma_{g^{-1}}(a^{h,n}_g)$, $g \in G$) are partial isometries in Aq_n with mutually orthogonal supports. But unfortunately we no longer have the equality $q_n v u^0_h v^* q_n = \tau(p)^{-1} q_n u^0_h q_n$ that would make possible the identification of the Fourier coefficients of the two sides, as in (5.2.2). However, an "approximation" of that equality does hold true due to (6.2), and this will be good enough for us to prove the following version of (5.2.3):

6.3. Lemma. *Let* $F^h_n = \{g \in G \mid a^{h,n}_g \neq 0\}$. *For all* $g \in F^h_n$ *we have:*

(i). $\|a^h_g - c^h_g \tau(p)^{-1}\sigma_g(q_n)q_n\| \leq 2^{-n+1}$ *and if* $n \geq 2$ *then* $|a^h_g| = \sigma_g(q_n)q_n$.

(ii). $|1 - \tau(p)^{-1}|c^h_g|| \leq 2^{-n+1}$ *and* $|F^h_n| \leq \tau(p)^{-1} + 2^{-n+2}$.

(iii). $|c^h_g| \leq \tau(p)$ *and* $F^h_n \supset F^h_{n+1}$, $\forall n$.

Proof. If $F^h_n = \emptyset$ then there is nothing to prove. If F^h_n is non-empty then fix $g_0 \in F^h_n$. Denote $\overline{q} = q_n \sigma_{g_0}(q_n)$ and note that $|a^{h,n}_{g_0}| \leq \overline{q}$. For each projection $q \in \mathcal{P}(A\overline{q})$ denote $q' = \sigma_{g_0^{-1}}(q) \leq q_n$. Note that if $q' \leq \overline{q}$ then $qu_{g_0}q' = qu_{g_0}$ and if $q \leq |a^{h,n}_{g_0}|$ then $qvu^0_h v^* q' = qa^{h,n}_{g_0} u_{g_0}$.

By (6.1) applied to $q, q' \leq q_n$ we have $\|qv - \tau(p)^{-1/2}qp\|_2 \leq 2^{-n}\|q\|_2$ and $\|v^*q' - \tau(p)^{-1/2}pq'\|_2 \leq 2^{-n}\|q'\|_2$. Using this and the inequality $\|xy\|_2 \leq \|x\|\|y\|_2$ we get:

$$\|qvu^0_h v^* q' - \tau(p)^{-1} qu^0_h q'\|_2 \tag{6.3.1}$$

$$\leq \|qvu^0_h v^* q' - \tau(p)^{-1/2} qu^0_h v^* q'\|_2 + \|\tau(p)^{-1/2} qu^0_h v^* q' - \tau(p)^{-1} qu^0_h q'\|_2$$

$$\leq \|qv - \tau(p)^{-1/2}qp\|_2 \|u_h^0 v^* q'\| + \tau(p)^{-1/2}\|qu_h^0\| \|v^*q' - \tau(p)^{-1/2}pq'\|_2$$
$$\leq 2^{-n}\|q\|_2 + 2^{-n}\|q'\|_2 = 2^{-n+1}\|q\|_2.$$

For any d in the spectrum of $a_{g_0}^{h,n}$ in $A\overline{q}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, take $0 \neq q \in \mathcal{P}(A\overline{q})$ to be a spectral projection of $a_{g_0}^{h,n}$ in $A\overline{q}$ such that $\|a_{g_0}^{h,n}q - d\| \leq \varepsilon$. Using (6.3.1) we then get:

$$2^{-n+1}\|q\|_2 \geq \|qvu_h^0v^*q' - \tau(p)^{-1}qu_h^0q'\|_2$$
$$= \|(a_{g_0}^{h,n}q - \tau(p)^{-1}c_{g_0}^h q)u_{g_0} - \Sigma_{g\neq g_0} c_g^h q\sigma_g(q')u_g\|_2$$
$$\geq \|a_{g_0}^{h,n}q - \tau(p)^{-1}c_{g_0}^h q\|_2 \geq \|dq - \tau(p)^{-1}c_{g_0}^h q\|_2 - \varepsilon\|q\|_2$$
$$= |d - \tau(p)^{-1}c_{g_0}^h| \|q\|_2 - \varepsilon\|q\|_2.$$

Since $d \in \operatorname{spec}(a_{g_0}^{h,n})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ were arbitrary, $\|a_{g_0}^{h,n} - \tau(p)^{-1}c_{g_0}^h\overline{q}\| \leq 2^{-n+1}$. This also shows that if $n \geq 2$ then $|a_{g_0}^{h,n}| = \overline{q}$. Moreover, $|1 - \tau(p)^{-1}|c_{g_0}^h|| \leq \|a_{g_0}^{h,n} - \tau(p)^{-1}c_{g_0}^h\overline{q}\| \leq 2^{-n+1}$.

From the equality $\Sigma_{g\in G}|c_g^h|^2 = \tau(p)$ and the inequalities $|c_g^h| \geq \tau(p)(1 - 2^{-n+1})$ we get

$$\tau(p) \geq \Sigma_{g\in F_n^h}|c_g^h|^2 \geq |F_n^h|\tau(p)^2(1 - 2^{-n+1})^2,$$

showing that $|F_n^h| \leq \tau(p)^{-1}(1 - 2^{-n+1})^{-2} \leq \tau(p)^{-1}(1 + 2^{-n+2})$.

The sequence of sets F_n^h follows decreasing in n by the definition of F_n^h and by the fact that the sequence q_n is decreasing. Also, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have $|c_g^h| = |\tau(u_g^0 u_g^*)| \leq \|u_g^0\|_2\|u_g p\|_2 = \tau(p)$. Q.E.D.

Proof of 5.2 *in case* $p = 1$, σ_0 *weakly mixing.* Since $p = 1$, condition (6.2) becomes:

$$\|qv - q\|_2 \leq 2^{-n}\|q\|_2, \forall q \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n) \tag{6.4.1}$$

for some decreasing sequence of non-zero projections q_n in Avv^*. By the weak mixing property of σ_0, there exists a sequence $\{h_m\}_m \in G_0$ such that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \tau(\sigma_0(h_m h)(v^*q_n v)v^*q_n v) = \tau(q_n)^2, \forall n \geq 1, h \in G_0. \tag{6.4.2}$$

Note that for $h' \in G_0$ and $n \geq 1$ we have $F_n^{h'} \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow q_n v u_{h'}^0 v^* q_n \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_0(h')(v^*q_n v)v^*q_n v \neq 0$. Let now $h \in G_0$ be an arbitrary element and $n \geq 3$. Taking $h' = h_m$ and then $h' = h_m h$ in these equivalences, with m large enough, it follows by (6.4.2) that $F_n^{h_m}, F_n^{h_m h} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 6.3 we have $|F_n^{h_m h}|, |F_n^{h_m}| \leq 1 + 2^{-n+2} \leq 3/2$. Thus $F_n^{h_m} = \{g\}$, $F_n^{h_m h} = \{g'\}$, for some $g = g(n, h_m), g' = g(n, h_m h)$.

Moreover, by 6.3 again, there exist unit scalars $c, c' \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $|c - c_g^{h_n}| \leq 2^{-n+2}$, $|c' - c_{g'}^{h_n h}| \leq 2^{-n+2}$. Recalling that $u^0_{h_n} = \Sigma_g c_g^{h_n} u_g$, we get

$$\|u^0_{h_n} - cu_g\|_2^2 = |c_g^{h_n} - c|^2 + (1 - |c_g^{h_n}|^2)$$

$$\leq 2^{-2n+4} + 2^{-n+2}(1 + 2^{-n+2}) \leq 2^{-n+3}.$$

Similarly $\|u^0_{h_n} - cu_g\|_2^2 \leq 2^{-n+3}$. Since $u^0_h = u^0_{h_n^{-1}} u^0_{h_n h}$, by the triangle inequality we deduce:

$$\|u^0_h - c^{-1}c' u_{g^{-1}g'}\|_2$$

$$\leq \|u^0_{h_n^{-1}} u^0_{h_n h} - u^0_{h_n^{-1}}(c'u_{g'})\|_2 + \|u^0_{h_n^{-1}}(c'u_{g'}) - (c^{-1}u_{g^{-1}})(c'u_{g'}\|_2$$

$$= \|u^0_{h_n h} - c'u_{g'}\|_2 + \|u^0_{h_n^{-1}} - c^{-1}u_{g^{-1}}\|_2 \leq 2^{(-n+5)/2}.$$

Since $n \geq 3$ can be taken arbitrarily large in these inequalities, independently of $h \in G_0$, this shows that $\{u^0_h\}_h \subset \{\alpha u_g \mid g \in G, \alpha \in \mathbb{T}\}$. Since $\{u^0_h\}_h$ is a representation of the group G_0, there exist a character γ of G_0 and an isomorphism $\delta : G_0 \simeq G' \subset G$ such that $u^0_h = \gamma(h) u_{\delta(h)}$, $\forall h \in G_0$.

This proves all but (ii) in 5.2. Proving (ii) amounts to show that under the extra-assumption (6.2), A and A_0 follow equal. But by (6.2), under the "infinitesimal" projections $q_n \in A$, $v^* q_n v \in A_0$ the two algebras "almost" coincide. Since $\{u^0_h\}_h$ is contained in $\{u_g\}_g$ modulo the scalars and they act ergodically on A_0 resp. A, this local "almost coincidence" extends to a global "almost coincidence" of A, A_0, which due to the arbitrariness of the approximations shows that $A = A_0$. The full details of this argument are carried out in (6.6.1) – (6.6.3), where the case "p arbitrary" is covered.

Q.E.D.

Proof of 5.2 *in the case* σ_0 *weakly mixing,* σ *mixing.* By 4.4.(i), since σ is mixing and σ_0 weakly mixing, it follows that σ_0 is mixing as well. Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be the distance from $\tau(p)^{-1}$ to the closest integer $\neq \tau(p)^{-1}$ and take $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0(1 + \tau(p)^{-1})^{-1}/2$.

Since σ is mixing there exists a finite subset $E \subset G$ such that

$$|\tau(\sigma_g(q_n)q_n) - \tau(q_n)^2| \leq \varepsilon\tau(q_n)^2, \forall g \in G \setminus E. \tag{6.5.1}$$

Similarly, since σ_0 is mixing and $\sigma_0(h)(y) = u^0_h y u^{0*}_h$, $\forall y \in A_0 = Ap, h \in G_0$, by taking into account that $v^* q_n v \in A_0$ and $\tau_{M_0}(y) = \tau(p)^{-1}\tau(y)$, $\forall y \in A_0 = Ap$, it follows that there exists $E_0 \subset G_0$ finite such that

$$|\tau(u^0_h v^* q_n v u^{0*}_h v^* q_n v) - \tau(p)^{-1}\tau(q_n)^2| \leq \varepsilon\tau(q_n)^2, \forall h \in G_0 \setminus E_0. \tag{6.5.2}$$

Moreover, since $\{u_h^0\}_h$ tends weakly to zero as $h \to \infty$, it follows that we may also assume E_0 is large enough to ensure

$$|c_g^h| = |\tau(u_h^0 u_g^*)| \leq \varepsilon/|E|, \forall g \in E, \forall h \in G_0 \setminus E_0 \tag{6.5.3}$$

But $q_n v u_h^0 v^* q_n = \Sigma_g a_g^{h,n} u_g$, so using the fact that $\|\Sigma_g a_g^{h,n} u_g\|_2^2 = \Sigma_g \tau(|a_g^{h,n}|)$ and $\|q_n v u_h^0 v^* q_n\|_2^2 = \tau(u_h^0 v^* q_n v u_h^{0*} v^* q_n v)$, by (6.5.2) it follows that

$$|\Sigma_g \tau(|a_g^{h,n}|) - \tau(p)^{-1}\tau(q_n)^2| \leq \varepsilon \tau(q_n)^2, \forall h \in G_0 \setminus E_0. \tag{6.5.4}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6.3, for each $a_g^{h,n} \neq 0$ we have $\tau(|a_g^{h,n}|) = \tau(\sigma_g(q_n)q_n)$. Thus, since there are $|F_n^h|$-many such non-zero elements, by first using (6.5.4) and then (6.5.1) we get

$$||F_n^h| - \tau(p)^{-1}|\tau(q_n)^2 = |F_n^h|\tau(q_n)^2 - \tau(p)^{-1}\tau(q_n)^2|$$

$$\leq ||F_n^h|\tau(q_n)^2 - \Sigma_g \tau(\sigma_g(q_n)q_n)| + \varepsilon \tau(q_n)^2$$

$$\leq (|F_n^h| + 1)\varepsilon \tau(q_n)^2 \leq (\tau(p)^{-1} + 1)\varepsilon \tau(q_n)^2 \leq (\varepsilon_0/2)\tau(q_n)^2.$$

Thus, $\tau(p)^{-1}$ is $\varepsilon_0/2$ close to the integer $|F_n^h|$. By the choice of ε_0, this forces $\tau(p)^{-1} = |F_n^h|$.

We have thus proved that $\tau(p)^{-1}$ is an integer and that for each n there exists $E_0 \subset G_0$ finite such that for all $h \in G_0 \setminus E_0$ we have $|F_n^h| = \tau(p)^{-1}$, while by 6.3.(*ii*) we also have $|\tau(p) - |c_g^h|| \leq 2^{-n+1}\tau(p), \forall g \in F_n^h$. Define $\tilde{G} = \{(u_{g_n})_n \mid g_n \in G\} \subset \mathcal{U}(M^\omega)$ and notice that $L(\tilde{G})$ is naturally isomorphic to the von Neumann subalgebra of M^ω generated by $\tilde{G}$. Apply Lemma 5.3 to the set $\mathcal{W}$ of unitary elements in $pL(\tilde{G})p$ which have Fourier expansion with $\tau(p)^{-1}$ non-zero coefficients, all of same absolute value $\tau(p)$. From the above remarks it follows that if $\{h_n\}_n \subset G_0$ satisfies $h_n \to \infty$ then the class of $(u_{h_n}^0)_n$ in M^ω lies in $\mathcal{W}$. Since for all $h \in G_0$ we have $hh_n \to \infty$ as well, it follows that $(u_{hh_n}^0)_n \in \mathcal{W}$, $\forall h \in G_0$. But by 5.3 $\mathcal{W}$ is a group, so the constant sequence $u_h^0 = (u_{hh_n}^0)_n (u_{h_n}^0)_n^*$ lies in $\mathcal{W}$, $\forall h \in G_0$.

We have thus shown that the Fourier expansion of u_h^0 has exactly $\tau(p)^{-1}$ elements, all of absolute value $= \tau(p)$, $\forall h \in G_0$. Thus, if we take $u = p$ then (*i*), (*iii*) of 5.2 are consequences of Lemma 5.3. In other words, there exist a subgroup $G' \subset G$, with a normal subgroup $K \subset G'$ with $\tau(p)^{-1}$ elements and an $\mathrm{Ad}(G')$-invariant character κ of K such that $p = \Sigma_k \kappa(k) u_k$ and $\{u_h^0\}_h = \{u_g p \mid g \in G'\}$, modulo scalars.

In particular, this implies that p is a Jones projection for the inclusion $A^K \subset A$, i.e. $pap = E_{A^K}(a)p, \forall a \in A$, and there exists a projection $p_0 \in A$ of trace $\tau(p_0) = \tau(p)$ satisfying $pp_0p = \tau(p)p$, $A^K p_0 = Ap_0$.

To finish the proof of 5.2 we still need to show that $A_0 = A^K p = pAp$. To this end note that (6.2) implies the following "approximation" of the equality $A_0(v^*qv) = A^K q'p$ in (5.4.1):

$$\|v^*qv - \tau(p)^{-1}pqp\|_2 \leq 2^{-n+1}\|q\|_2, \forall q \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n). \tag{6.6.1}$$

Moreover, since in particular pq_np is 2^{-n+1}-close to a $\tau(p)$-multiple of a projection, for n large enough it follows that pqp must be equal to $\tau(p)q'$ where $q' = \tau(p)^{-1}E_{A^K}(q)$ is a projection in A^K, $\forall q \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n)$. Thus, with the above notations we may assume $q_n \leq p_0$ and

$$\|v^*qv - q'p\|_2 \leq 2^{-n+1}\|q\|_2, \forall q \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n). \tag{6.6.1'}$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{(e_i, h_i)\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{P}(Aq_n) \times G_0$ be a maximal family (with respect to inclusion) with the property that $\{\sigma_{\delta(h_i)}(e'_i)\}_i$, resp. $\{\sigma_0(h_i)(v^*e_iv)\}_i$, are mutually orthogonal. If $f_0 = \Sigma_i \sigma_0(h_i)(v^*e_iv) \neq p$ (equivalently $f = \Sigma_i \sigma_{\delta(h_i)}(e'_i) \neq 1$), then by the ergodicity of σ_0 there exists $0 \neq e_0 \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n) \subset A^K p_0$ and $h \in G_0$ such that $\sigma_0(h)(v^*e_0v) \leq p - f_0$. By the maximality of the family $\mathcal{F}$, it follows that $\sigma_{\delta(h)}(e_0)f \neq 0$. By shrinking e_0 if necessary, we may thus assume $\sigma_{\delta(h)}(e_0) \leq \sigma_{\delta(h_i)}(e'_i)$ for some $i \in I$. Thus, there exists $e_i^0 \leq e_i$ in $\mathcal{P}(Aq_n)$ such that

$$\sigma_{\delta(h_i)}(e_i^0) = \sigma_{\delta(h)}(e_0), \sigma_0(h)(v^*e_0v)\sigma_0(h_i)(v^*e_i^0v) = 0. \tag{6.6.2}$$

But since for $a \in A^K, a_0 \in A_0$ we have $\sigma_{\delta(h)}(a)p = u_{\delta(h)}(ap)u_{\delta(h)}^* = u_h^0 a u_h^{0*}$ and $\sigma_0(h)(a_0) = u_h^0 a_0 u_h^{0*} = u_{\delta(h)} a_0 u_{\delta(h)}^*$, by (6.2) we get

$$\|\sigma_0(h')(v^*qv) - \sigma_{\delta(h')}(q)\|_2 \leq 2^{-n+1}, \forall q \in \mathcal{P}(Aq_n), \forall h' \in G_0. \tag{6.6.3}$$

From (6.6.2) and (6.6.3) we finally get:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{1/2}\|e_0\|_2 &= \|\sigma_0(h)(v^*e_0v) - \sigma_0(h_i)(v^*e_i^0v)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\sigma_0(h)(v^*e_0v) - \sigma_{\delta(h)}(e_0)\|_2 + \|\sigma_{\delta(h_i)}(e_i^0) - \sigma_0(h_i)(v^*e_i^0v)\|_2 \\ &\leq 2^{-n+2}\|e_0\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

which for $n \geq 2$ gives a contradiction. The maximal family $\mathcal{F}$ must therefore satisfy $\Sigma_i \sigma_0(h_i)(v^*e_iv) = p$, $\Sigma_i \sigma_{\delta(h_i)}(e'_i) = 1$. Since by (6.2) we have $\|v^*e_i - e_i\|_2 \leq 2^{-n}\|e_i\|_2$, if we define $V = \Sigma_i u_{h_i}^0 e_i v u_{h_i}^{0*}$ then clearly $V^*V = VV^* = p$, $V^*(A^K p)V = A_0$ and

$$\|V - p\|_2^2 = \Sigma_i \|u_{h_i}^0 e_i v u_{h_i}^{0*} - u_{h_i}^0 e_i u_{h_i}^{0*}\|_2^2 \leq \Sigma_i 2^{-n}\|e_i\|_2^2 = 2^{-n}.$$

But since n was arbitrary, this shows that $A^K p = A_0$.

Q.E.D.

7. Strong rigidity results

The results we prove in this section will typically assume a "weak equivalence" between two free ergodic m.p. actions $\sigma : G \to \text{Aut}(X_0, \mu_0)$, $\sigma : G \to \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ satisfying certain conditions and will derive from that a much "stronger equivalence". There are two types of "weak equivalence" assumptions that we will consider:

Von Neumann equivalence (abbreviated *vNE*) of two actions σ_0, σ is an isomorphism of the group measure space factors $M_0 = L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0) \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$, $M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ associated with σ_0, σ. Most often we'll in fact consider its "stabilized version", i.e. isomorphism of some "corners" of these factors.

Orbit equivalence (abbreviated *OE*) of σ_0, σ, is an isomorphism Δ_0 of the probability spaces (X_0, μ_0), (X, μ) that takes the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}$ given by the orbits of σ_0 onto the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ given by the orbits of σ, almost everywhere. More generally we consider its "stabilized version", i.e. an isomorphism $\Delta_0 : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (Y, \mu_Y)$, for some $Y \subset X$ with $\mu(Y) \neq 0$, that takes $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}$ onto the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^Y$ on (Y, μ_Y) given by the intersection of the orbits of σ with the set Y.

Note that by ([Dy], [FM]) an isomorphism $\Delta_0 : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (Y, \mu_Y)$ extends to an algebra isomorphism $\theta_0 : M_0 \simeq pMp$, where $p = \chi_Y$, if and only if it takes onto each other the equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}, \mathcal{R}_\sigma^Y$, i.e. if it is a (stable) OE. Furthermore, an isomorphism $\theta : M_0 \simeq pMp$ comes from a (stable) OE iff it takes the subalgebra of coefficients $L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0)$, $L^\infty(X, \mu)p$ (also called Cartan subalgebras) onto each other. Thus, OE $\Rightarrow$ vNE and any OE of actions can be viewed as a special type of vNE of the actions. Altogether, OE ergodic theory, which is the study of actions of groups up to orbit equivalence, is the same as the study of Cartan subalgebra inclusions $L^\infty(X, \mu) \subset L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ underlying the group measure space construction.

Conjugacy of actions is the typical "stronger equivalence" that we'll derive for σ_0, σ. This means an isomorphism of probability spaces $\Delta : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (X, \mu)$ satisfying $\{\Delta^{-1}\sigma_g\Delta\}_{g\in G} = \{\sigma_0(h)\}_{h\in G_0}$. In other words, $\sigma(\delta(h))\Delta = \Delta\sigma_0(h)$, $\forall h \in G_0$, for some isomorphism of groups $\delta : G_0 \simeq G$. Note that if Δ, δ give a conjugacy of σ_0, σ, then they implement an isomorphism of the corresponding group measure space II_1 factors $\theta^{\delta,\Delta} : L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0) \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0 \simeq L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ by $\theta^{\delta,\Delta}(\Sigma_h a_h^0 u_h^0) = \Sigma_h \Delta(a_h^0) u_{\delta(h)}$.

In one of the results though (Theorem 7.6), from vNE we'll merely derive OE, with only certain "parts" of $(\sigma_0, G_0), (\sigma, G)$ conjugate onto each other.

The conditions σ_0, σ must satisfy are as follows: The "source" action (σ_0, G_0) has to contain a sufficiently large subgroup satisfying the relative property (T) (of Kazhdan-Margulis [Ma]). The "target" action (σ, G) needs to have good deformation properties (malleability), examples of which are the Bernoulli G-actions. The precise definitions and notations, also used in ([Po4]), are as follows:

7.0.1. Definition. A group G_0 is *w-rigid*, if it contains an infinite normal subgroup $H_0 \subset G_0$ with the relative property (T) of Kazhdan-Margulis ([Ma]; see also [dHV]).

7.0.2. Definition ([Po6]). An infinite subgroup Λ of a group Γ is *weakly quasi normal* (*wq-normal*) in Γ if for any intermediate subgroup $\Lambda \subset H \subsetneq \Gamma$ there exists $g \in \Gamma \setminus H$ such that $gHg^{-1} \cap H$ infinite. Note that Λ is wq-normal in Γ if and only if there exists an ordinal $\imath$ and a well ordered family of intermediate subgroups $\Lambda = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset ... \subset H_\jmath \subset ... \subset H_\imath = \Gamma$ such that for each $\jmath < \imath$, $H_{\jmath+1}$ is the group generated by the elements $g \in \Gamma$ with $gH_\jmath g^{-1} \cap H_\jmath$ infinite and such that if $\jmath \leq \imath$ has no "predecessor" then $H_\jmath = \cup_{n<\jmath} H_n$ (see [Po6]). It is easy to see that there exists a largest group $\Lambda \subset H' \subset \Gamma$ such that Λ is wq-normal in H', which we will call the *wq-normalizer* of Λ in Γ.

If $\Lambda = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset ... \subset H_n = \Gamma$ are all normal inclusions, and Λ is infinite, then $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ is wq-normal. An inclusion of the form $\Lambda \subset (\Lambda * K_0) \times K_1$, with Λ, K_1 infinite K_0 arbitrary, is also wq-normal but cannot be realized as a sequence of consecutive normal inclusions.

7.0.3. Notation. We denote by $w\mathcal{T}_0$ the class of groups G_0 that contain an infinite, non-virtually abelian subgroup H satisfying: $H \subset G_0$ has the relative property (T); H is wq-normal in G_0.

7.0.4. Notation. We denote by $w\mathcal{T}_1$ the class of groups G_0 that have a w-rigid, wq-normal subgroup $H \subset G_0$ such that $\{hgh^{-1} \mid h \in H\}$ is infinite $\forall g \in G_0, g \neq e$. Note that if G_0 is itself w-rigid ICC then $G_0 \in w\mathcal{T}_1$.

Note that all groups in $w\mathcal{T}_1$ are ICC (by the definition), while groups in $w\mathcal{T}_0$ are not required to be ICC. The class $w\mathcal{T}_0$ is clearly closed under normal and finite index extensions. It is also closed to inductive limits. All infinite property (T) groups ([Ka]) are both w-rigid and in the class $w\mathcal{T}_0$, and so are all groups of the form $\Gamma \times K$ with Γ infinite property (T) group and K arbitrary. Also, if $\Gamma \in w\mathcal{T}_0$ then $(\Gamma * K_0) \times K_1 \in w\mathcal{T}_0$, $\forall K_0$ arbitrary K_1 infinite. The groups $G = \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \Gamma$, with $\Gamma \subset SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ non amenable are w-rigid (cf. [Ka], [Ma], [Bu]), and so are all the arithmetic groups in ([Va], [Fe]). However, these groups are not in the class $w\mathcal{T}_0$ because in each of these cases the relatively rigid subgroup ($\mathbb{Z}^N$, for some N) is abelian.

7.0.5. Definition. An action σ of G on $A \simeq L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is *malleable* (1.5 in [Po4]) if there exists a continuous action $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Aut}(A \overline{\otimes} A)$ that commutes with the "double" action $\sigma_g \otimes \sigma_g, g \in G$, and "flips" at some point $A \otimes 1$ onto $1 \otimes A$. It is *s-malleable* if there also exists $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(A\overline{\otimes}A)$ leaving $A = A\otimes 1$ pointwise fixed and satisfying $\beta^2 = id$, $\beta\alpha_t = \alpha_{-t}\beta, \forall t$. Also, σ is *sub malleable* (resp. *sub s-malleable*) if it can be appropriately extended to a malleable (resp. s-malleable) action. For the precise definitions see (1.5 and 4.2 in [Po4]).

Given an arbitrary infinite discrete group G, an example of an action σ of G that is both sub s-malleable and clustering is the (left) Bernoulli shift action with "base space" (Y_0, ν_0) ($Y_0 \neq$ single point). Recall that such σ acts on $(X, \mu) = \Pi_g(Y_0, \nu_0)_g$ by $\sigma_h((x_g)_g) = (x'_g)_g$, where $x'_g = x_{h^{-1}g}$, $\forall h, g \in G$. Recall also that a generalized Bernoulli shift action σ of G is defined as follows: Let S be an infinite countable set and π a faithful action of G on S; let $(X, \mu) = \Pi_s(Y_0, \nu_0)_s$ and define the action σ of G on (X, μ) by $\sigma_h((x_s)_s) = (x'_s)_s$, where $x'_s = x_{\pi(h^{-1})(s)}$, $\forall h \in G$, $s \in S$. All generalized Bernoulli shift actions are sub s-malleable. They are mixing iff for any finite subset $S_0 \subset S$ there exists $F \subset G$ finite such that $\pi(g)S_0 \cap S_0 = \emptyset$, $\forall g \in G \setminus F$. This condition is easily seen to also imply σ is clustering.

To state the results, let us also recall that if γ is a character of G then it defines an automorphism θ^γ of $M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ by $\theta(\Sigma_g a_g u_g) = \Sigma_g \gamma(g) a_g u_g$, which is outer whenever γ is non-trivial (see e.g. [T]).

7.1. Theorem (vNE Strong Rigidity). *Let $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X, \mu)$, $\sigma_0 : G_0 \to \mathrm{Aut}(X_0, \mu_0)$ be free, ergodic actions of countable discrete groups G, G_0 on standard probability spaces (X, μ), (X_0, μ). Denote $M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$, $M_0 = L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0) \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$. Assume:*

(a). G is ICC; σ is sub malleable clustering, e.g. σ is a Bernoulli G-action;

(b). G_0 w-rigid ICC. More generally, G_0 has a w-rigid, wq-normal subgroup $H \subset G_0$ such that $\{hgh^{-1} \mid h \in G_0\}$ infinite $\forall e \neq g \in G_0$ and $\sigma_{0|H}$ ergodic. (Note that $G_0 \in w\mathcal{T}_1$.)

If $\theta : M_0 \simeq pMp$ is an isomorphism, for some projection $p \in M$, then $p = 1$ and there exist a character γ of G and isomorphisms $\delta : G_0 \simeq G$, $\Delta : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (X, \mu)$ satisfying $\sigma(\delta(h))\Delta = \Delta\sigma_0(h)$, $\forall h \in G_0$, such that $\theta = \mathrm{Ad}(u) \circ \theta^\gamma \circ \theta^{\delta,\Delta}$ for some unitary $u \in M$.

Proof. Note first that if $H \subset G_0$ is as in condition (b) then $L(H)' \cap M_0 = \mathbb{C}$. This is because the condition $\{hgh^{-1} \mid h \in G_0\}$ infinite $\forall e \neq g \in G_0$ implies $L(H)' \cap M_0 \subset L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0)$ and since H acts ergodically on X_0 this further implies $L(H)' \cap M_0 \subset (L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0))^H = \mathbb{C}$.

We may clearly assume $p \in L(G)$. By (5.3 in [Po4]), there exists a unitary $u_1 \in pMp$ such that $u_1\theta(L(G_0))u_1^* \subset pL(G)p$. We may thus assume, for simplicity of notations, that $M_0 = pMp$ with $pL(G)p \supset L(G_0)$. This allows us to apply Theorem 5.1. Since G ICC implies G has no non-trivial finite normal subgroups, it follows by 5.1 that $p = 1$ and there exists a unitary element $u_2 \in L(G)$ such that $\text{Ad}(u_2)(u_h^0) = \gamma(\delta(h))u_{\delta(h)}$, for some character γ of G and an isomorphism of groups $\delta : G_0 \simeq G$. But this is equivalent to the required conclusions. Q.E.D.

7.1'. Theorem. *With the same notations as in* 7.1*, if we assume:*

(a). G is ICC; σ is sub s-malleable clustering, e.g. σ is a Bernoulli G-action;
(b). $G_0 \in w\mathcal{T}_0$.

then the same conclusion as in 7.1 *holds true for any isomorphism $\theta : M_0 \simeq pMp$.*

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as for 7.1, but using (5.3′ in [Po4]) instead of (5.3 in [Po4]). Q.E.D.

Taking in 7.1 (resp. 7.1') both groups G_0, G in $w\mathcal{T}_1$ (resp. in $w\mathcal{T}_0$) and both actions σ_0, σ to be Bernoulli shifts, we get a 1 to 1 functor $G \mapsto L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G = M$ from the class $w\mathcal{T}_0 \cup w\mathcal{T}_1$ (with group isomorphisms) to II_1 factors (with algebra isomorphisms). Moreover, the same is true for the associated II_∞ factors $M^\infty \overset{\text{def}}{=} M\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$.

7.2. Corollary. *Let $G_i \in w\mathcal{T}_0 \cup w\mathcal{T}_1$ (e.g. w-rigid ICC) and $\sigma_i : G_i \to \text{Aut}(X_i, \mu_i)$ be Bernoulli shift actions, $i = 0, 1$. Then the II_1 factors $M_i = L^\infty(X_i, \mu_i) \rtimes_{\sigma_i} G_i$ (resp. II_∞ factors M_i^∞), $i = 0, 1$, are isomorphic if and only if the groups G_i are isomorphic and the actions σ_i are conjugate, $i = 0, 1$. Even more so, if $\theta : M_0^\infty \simeq M_1^\infty$, then θ is the amplification of an isomorphism $\theta_0 : M_0 \simeq M_1$ of the form $\theta_0 = \text{Ad}(u) \circ \theta^\gamma \circ \theta^{\delta,\Delta}$, for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(M_1)$, $\gamma \in \text{Char}(G_1)$ and $\delta : G_0 \simeq G_1$, $\Delta : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (X_1, \mu_1)$ satisfying $\sigma_1(\delta(h))\Delta = \Delta\sigma_0(h)$, $\forall h \in G_0$.*

For a Bernoulli shift action σ of an ICC group G, $L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ can be viewed as the canonical "group measure space factor"-version of the "group factor" $L(G)$. Thus, Corollary 7.2 above can be regarded as an affirmative answer to a natural "relative variant" of Connes' rigidity conjecture ([C2]), stating that any isomorphism between factors arising from ICC property (T) groups ought to come from an isomorphism of the groups. Note though that this "relative variant" holds true in a generality which, of course, fails to be true for group algebras $L(G)$. For instance given ANY ICC group K (even K amenable !) the group $G = SL(3, \mathbb{Z}) \times K$ is w-rigid, so the factors $L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes G$ are non-isomorphic for non-isomorphic K, by 7.2, while the corresponding group factors $L(G)$ are mutually isomorphic if K are taken amenable.

To state the next consequence, we need to recall some simple general facts about automorphism groups associated with actions, equivalence relations and von Neumann algebras.

One denotes by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ the group of automorphisms of (X,μ) that preserve the orbits of σ and by $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ the subgroup of automorphism whose graph belongs to $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$. Equivalently, $\alpha \in \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ iff there exists u in the normalizer of $A = L^\infty(X,\mu)$ in M such that $\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}(u)_{|A}$. Note that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ is the normalizer of $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(X,\mu)$. We let $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)/\mathrm{Int}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$,

We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)$ the group of automorphisms $\Delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(X,\mu)$ for which there exists an (necessarily unique) automorphism $\delta = \delta(\Delta)$ of G such that $\Delta\sigma_g\Delta^{-1} = \sigma_{\delta(g)}, \forall g$. A particularly important subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)$ is the commutant of $\sigma(G)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(X,\mu)$, i.e. the group of automorphisms $\Delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)$ for which $\delta(\Delta) = id_G$. We denote this subgroup by $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma, G)$. It is clearly normal in $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)$.

It is not hard to see that if $\Delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)$ then Δ belongs to $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ if and only if $\Delta = \sigma_g$ for some $g \in G$ (see e.g. [MoSo] or [Fu3]). Thus, the image of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)$ in $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ coincides with the quotient $\mathrm{Out}(\sigma, G) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)/\sigma(G)$.

Note that if G is ICC then $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma, G)$ is isomorphic to its image in $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)/\sigma(G)$ and thus to its image in $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ as well. Note also that if σ is a Bernoulli shift then there is a canonical group embedding $\mathrm{Aut}(G) \ni \delta \mapsto \Delta_\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)$ given by $\Delta_\delta((x_g)_g) = (x'_g)_g$, where $x'_g = x_{\delta^{-1}(g)}, \forall g \in G$. Thus, one has the split exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma, G) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G))/\mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma, G) = \mathrm{Aut}(G) \to 1$$

which in case G is ICC gives the split exact sequence:

$$1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma, G) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G))/\sigma(G) \to \mathrm{Out}(G) \to 1$$

Finally, note that if we put $M = L^\infty(X,\mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ and denote as usual $\mathrm{Out}(M) = \mathrm{Aut}(M)/\mathrm{Int}(M)$, then we have a natural embedding $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ whose image in $\mathrm{Out}(M)$ is $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$. Another remarkable subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ related to the action σ is the group $\{\theta^\gamma \mid \gamma \in \mathrm{Char}(G)\} \simeq \mathrm{Char}(G)$, with $\theta^\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ as defined at the beginning of this section. This group is isomorphic to its image in $\mathrm{Out}(M)$ and it is normalized by the image of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma, G)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$, with their intersection being trivial.

With these remarks in mind, and denoting as before $M^\infty = M\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ the type II_∞ factor associated with M, Corollary 7.2 trivially implies:

7.3. Corollary. *With the above notations, assume* $G \in w\mathcal{T}_0 \cup w\mathcal{T}_1$ *(e.g.* G *w-rigid ICC) and* $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X,\mu)$ *is a Bernoulli G-action. Then* $\mathrm{Out}(M^\infty) = \mathrm{Out}(M) = \mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma, G) \times (\mathrm{Char}(G) \rtimes \mathrm{Out}(G))$. *In particular,* $\mathscr{F}(M) = \{1\}$. *Also,* $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma) = \mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma, G) \times \mathrm{Out}(G)$ *and* $\mathscr{F}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma) = \{1\}$.

The above corollary reduces the calculation of the outer automorphism group $\text{Out}(M)$ of II_1 factors $M = L^\infty(X,\mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ (resp. equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$) with G ICC group in the class $w\mathcal{T}_0 \cup w\mathcal{T}_1$ (e.g. for G w-rigid ICC group) and σ Bernoulli shift of base (Y_0, μ_0), to the calculation of the commutant $\text{Aut}_0(\sigma, G) = \{\theta \in \text{Aut}(X,\mu) \mid \theta\sigma_g = \sigma_g\theta, \forall g \in G\}$ of the left Bernoulli shift σ. We conjecture that any θ commuting with σ must be of the form $\theta = \theta_0\theta_1$ with θ_0 a right shift by some element of the group G and θ_1 a product type action $\theta_1 = \Pi_g\alpha_g$, where $\alpha_g = \alpha$, $\forall g$, for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(Y_0, \mu_0)$. Note that if this would be the case, then $\text{Aut}_0(\sigma, G) \simeq G \times \text{Aut}(Y_0, \mu_0)$, so by 7.3 it would follow that $\text{Out}(M) = G \times \text{Aut}(Y_0, \mu_0) \times (\text{Char}(G) \rtimes \text{Out}(G))$ and $\text{Out}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma) = G \times \text{Aut}(Y_0, \mu_0) \times \text{Out}(G)$. Such a calculation can be shown to imply that two Bernoulli shift actions σ_0, σ_1 of ICC groups $G_0, G_1 \in w\mathcal{T}_0 \cup w\mathcal{T}_1$ with base space (Y_0, ν_0), (Y_1, ν_1) give rise to isomorphic II_1 group measure space factors M_0, M_1 iff $G_0 \simeq G_1$ and $(Y_0, \nu_0) \simeq (Y_1, \nu_1)$.

The "weak-normality" assumptions on the relatively rigid subgroup of G_0 in 7.1.(b), 7.1'.(b) allowed us to obtain plain conjugacy of actions from von Neumann isomorphism (vNE). Using the full generality of the Cartan Conjugacy Criteria in Section 4, one can still obtain significant information (notably OE equivalence from vNE equivalence) even if we drop such weak normality assumption:

7.4. Theorem (vNE/OE Strong Rigidity). *Let* $\sigma : G \to \text{Aut}(X,\mu)$, $\sigma_0 : G_0 \to \text{Aut}(X_0, \mu_0)$ *be free ergodic m.p. actions. Denote* $A = L^\infty(X,\mu), A_0 = L^\infty(X_0, \mu_0)$, $M = A \rtimes_\sigma G$, $M_0 = A_0 \rtimes_{\sigma_0} G_0$. *Assume:*

(a). G is ICC; σ is sub s-malleable clustering, e.g. σ Bernoulli G-action.

(b). G_0 has a non virtually abelian subgroup $H \subset G_0$ with the relative property (T).

If $\theta : M_0 \simeq pMp$ is an isomorphism, for some projection $p \in M$, then $\tau(p)^{-1}$ is an integer and there exists a partial isometry $v \in pMp$ such that

(i). $v^*v = p$, $vv^* \in A$, $v\theta(A_0)v^* = Avv^*$.

(ii). If $G_0' \subset G_0$ is the wq-normalizer of H in G_0, then there exists $G' \subset G$ and $K \lhd G'$, $|K| = \tau(p)^{-1}$, such that $\text{Ad}(v) \circ \theta$ *conjugates $(\sigma_0)_{|G_0'}$ onto the action σ' of G'/K on $A^K = \{a \in A \mid \sigma_k(a) = a, \forall k \in K\}$ given by $\sigma'(\hat{g'}) = (\sigma_{g'})_{|A^K}$, $\forall g' \in G'$.*

Proof. Like in the proofs of 7.1, 7.1', by ([Po4]) we may assume $p \in L(G)$ and $\theta(L(G_0')) \subset pL(G)p$. By Theorem 4.2 it follows that $\theta(A_0)$ is unitary conjugate to Ap_0 for some projection $p_0 \in A$ with $\tau(p_0) = \tau(p)$. But then 5.2 applies to get the conclusion. Q.E.D.

The first result deriving OE (equivalently, Cartan conjugacy) from vNE, i.e. from the isomorphism of the group measure space factors, was obtained in ([Po2]): It is shown there that if $M_0 = M(\mathcal{R}_0)$, $M = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ for some equivalence relations

$\mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}$, with $\mathcal{R}_0$ having the relative property (T) (as defined in 5.10.1 in [Po2]) and $\mathcal{R}$ having the Haagerup property (as considered in 2.1 and 3.5.6 of [Po2]) then any isomorphism $\theta : M_0 \simeq M$ is an inner perturbation of an isomorphism coming from OE. Once such a "vNE/OE Strong Rigidity" is proved, one can take advantage of results on OE Ergodic Theory to derive results about the II_1 factors involved. Thus, in ([Po2]) one uses Gaboriau's ℓ^2-Betti numbers for equivalence relations to derive invariants for the corresponding group measure space factors, (called the *class* $\mathcal{HT}$).

Similarly, one can use 7.4 in combination with ([Ga2]), or with the Monod-Shalom OE Strong Rigidity results in ([MoSh]), to obtain calculation of fundamental groups and non-isomorphism of II_1 group measure space factors that are not covered by Theorem 7.1. For instance, one can apply 7.4 to the case G_0, G are products of two or more groups of the form $H * K$ with H, K torsion free and H Kazhdan, to get OE from vNE, then apply ([MoSh]) to further get conjugacy from OE, and the triviality of the fundamental group. Along these lines, we mention a consequence of 7.4 which derives the triviality of fundamental group of certain factors without using OE rigidity results from ([Ga2], [MoSh]):

7.5. Corollary. *If G is an ICC group having a non virtually abelian subgroup with the relative property* (T) *(e.g. $G = H * K$ for some infinite Kazhdan group H and $K \neq 1$, or a product of such groups) and σ is a Bernoulli G-action, then the fundamental group of the corresponding group measure space factor M satisfies $\mathscr{F}(M) \cap [1, \infty) \subset \mathbb{N}$. If in addition G is torsion free (e.g. $G = H * K$ with H Kazhdan and H, K torsion free) then $\mathscr{F}(M) = \{1\}$.*

Since any OE of actions entails an isomorphism of the associated group measure space factors, Theorems 7.1, 7.1' trivially imply OE rigidity results for actions σ, σ_0 satisfying conditions $(a), (b)$ of either 7.1 or 7.1'. But in fact we can do slightly better than that: Since an isomorphism of group measure space factors that comes from an OE isomorphism of probability spaces takes Cartan subalgebras onto each other, we can use 5.2 (which only requires mixing conditions on the actions) rather than 5.1 (which is what we used in the proofs of 7.1, 7.1', and which required the clustering condition on σ). This allows us to replace the condition "σ clustering" by the weaker condition "σ mixing".

7.6. Theorem (OE Strong Rigidity). *Let $\sigma : G \to \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$, $\sigma_0 : G_0 \to \text{Aut}(X_0, \mu_0)$ be free ergodic m.p. actions. Assume:*

(a). *G is ICC; σ is sub s-malleable and mixing (e.g. a Bernoulli G-action).*

(b). *Either $G_0 \in w\mathcal{T}_0$; or (σ_0, G_0) satisfies* 7.1.(b) *(e.g., G_0 w-rigid ICC)*

If $Y \subset X$ *is a subset of positive measure and* $\Delta_0 : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (Y, \mu_Y)$ *satisfies* $\Delta_0(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}) = \mathcal{R}_\sigma^Y$ *then* $Y = X$*, the groups* G_0, G *are isomorphic and the actions* σ_0, σ *are conjugate with respect to that isomorphism of groups. More precisely, there exist* $\alpha \in \text{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$*,* $\delta : G_0 \simeq G$*,* $\Delta : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (X, \mu)$ *satisfying* $\sigma(\delta(h))(\Delta(x)) = \Delta(\sigma_0(h)(x))$*,* $\forall h \in G_0, x \in X_0$*, such that* $\Delta_0 = \alpha \circ \Delta$*.*

Proof. With the usual notation M_0, M for the associated group measure space factors, the isomorphism Δ_0 implements an isomorphism $\theta : M_0 \simeq pMp$ satisfying $\theta(A_0) = Ap$, where $p = \chi_Y$. Since $L(G)$ is diffuse (because G is infinite), p can be unitary conjugate to a projection in $L(G)$. Equivalently, after identifying M_0 with $\theta(M_0)$, we may assume $M_0 = pMp$ for some projection $p \in L(G)$. By applying (5.3 in [Po4]), in the case (σ_0, G_0) satisfies 7.1.(b), and respectively (5.3$'$ in [Po4]) in the case $G_0 \in w\mathcal{T}_1$, it follows that we may also assume $L(G_0) \subset pL(G)p$. Thus, assumptions (a) and (c) of 5.2 are satisfied. Since G is ICC and $M_0 = pMp$, all the conditions required in the last part of 5.2 are checked and the corresponding conclusion in 5.2 trivially implies the statement. Q.E.D.

Following ([MoSh]), to have an "OE superrigidity"-type result one needs all conditions on just one side. Theorem 7.6, which has the conditions on the group (either w-rigid ICC or in the class $w\mathcal{T}_0$) on the "source" side and the conditions on the action (Bernoulli) on the "target" side, doesn't quite fulfill this requirement. By strengthening the condition on the group though, we can obtain this kind of result as well:

7.7. Theorem (OE Superrigidity). *Let* G *be an ICC property* (T) *group and* $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ *a Bernoulli* G*-action (more generally, a sub s-malleable, mixing action). Let* G_0 *be any group and* $\sigma_0 : G_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X_0, \mu_0)$ *any free m.p. action of* G_0*. If* $\Delta_0 : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (Y, \mu_{|Y})$ *satisfies* $\Delta_0(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}) = \mathcal{R}_\sigma^Y$*, for some subset* $Y \subset X$*, then* $Y = X$ (*modulo null sets*)*, the groups* G_0, G *are isomorphic and the actions* σ_0, σ *are conjugate with respect to that isomorphism of groups. More precisely, there exist* $\alpha \in \text{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$*,* $\delta : G_0 \simeq G$ *such that* $\Delta = \alpha \circ \Delta_0$ *satisfies* $\sigma(\delta(h))\Delta = \Delta\sigma_0(h)$*,* $\forall h \in G_0$*.*

Proof. By either (4.1.7, 4.1.9 in [Po8]) or (1.4 in [Fu2]), if $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0} \simeq \mathcal{R}_\sigma^Y$ and G has property (T) then G_0 has property (T). But then we can apply 7.5 and the statement follows. Q.E.D.

Note that the class of groups and actions covered by the OE rigidity results 7.6 and 7.7 is quite different from the ones in ([Fu1], [MoSh]). However, some intersection occurs with ([MoSh]). For instance, if we take $G_0 = \Gamma_1 \times ... \times \Gamma_n$ with $n \geq 2$, each Γ_i either a free product of torsion free groups or a non-elementary

Gromov-hyperbolic group with the property (T), at least one being of this latter class, then each Γ_i is in the class $\mathcal{C}$ of Monod-Shalom while G_0 is weakly rigid. Thus, if the action σ_0 of $\Gamma_1 \times ... \times \Gamma_n$ is assumed irreducible, in the sense of ([MoSh]), and σ is Bernoulli with G ICC, then the corresponding OE rigidity result is covered by both 7.5 above and (1.9 in [MoSh]). On the other hand, we were not able to check Furman's condition for Bernoulli shift actions σ of higher rank lattices $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{G}$, i.e. to show whether $(\sigma, G; X)$ has no quotients of the form $\mathcal{G}/\Lambda$, with Λ a lattice in $\mathcal{G}$. If this would be true (which is what we expect), then (Theorem A in [Fu1]) would imply: Let G be an ICC higher rank lattice and σ Bernoulli G-action. If $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^Y \simeq \mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}$ for some $Y \subset X$ and some free ergodic m.p. action (σ_0, G_0), then G, G_0 are "virtually isomorphic" groups and σ, σ_0 "virtually conjugate" actions.

Our next result gives a Galois type correspondence for the w-rigid ICC sub-equivalence relations of an equivalence relation implemented by a Bernoulli shift. The result can also be viewed as a OE Strong Rigidity result for embeddings of equivalence relations.

7.7. Theorem (OE Strong Rigidity for Embeddings. *Let* $\sigma : G \to \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ *be a Bernoulli G-action (or more generally a sub s-malleable mixing m.p. action) of an ICC group G. If* $\sigma_0 : G_0 \to \text{Aut}(X_0, \mu_0)$ *is a free ergodic m.p. action of a group* G_0 *which is either w-rigid ICC or in the class* $w\mathcal{T}_0$ *and* $\Delta_0 : (X_0, \mu_0) \simeq (X, \mu)$ *satisfies* $\Delta_0(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0}) \subset \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ *then there exists an isomorphism* $\delta : G_0 \simeq G' \subset G$ *and* $\alpha \in \text{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ *such that* $\alpha \circ \Delta_0 \circ \sigma_0(h) = \sigma(\delta(h))$, $\forall h \in G_0$. *Thus, there is a 1 to 1 correspondence between the sub-equivalence relations of* $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ *that are implementable by free ergodic actions of w-rigid ICC groups (resp. groups in* $w\mathcal{T}_0$*), modulo conjugacy by automorphisms in* $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$*, and the w-rigid ICC subgroups of G (resp. the* $w\mathcal{T}_0$ *subgroups of G), modulo conjugacy by elements in G.*

Proof. We may assume $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_0} \subset \mathcal{R}_\sigma$, i.e. we can select unitaries $\{u_h^0\}_{h \in G_0}$ in the normalizer of $A = L^\infty(X, \mu)$ in $M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ such that $G_0 \ni h \mapsto \sigma_0(h) = \text{Ad}(u_h^0) \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu), \forall h \in G_0$. Since $A \subset M = M(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ has vanishing 2-cohomology in the sense of ([FM]), it follows that for any sub-equivalence $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ the Cartan inclusion $A \subset M(\mathcal{R})$ has vanishing 2-cocycle. Thus, by perturbing if necessary each u_h^0 by a unitary element in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ we may assume $\{u_h^0\}_{h \in G_0}$ are the canonical unitaries generating $L(G_0)$. If G_0 is w-rigid ICC then by (5.3 in [Po4]) there exists a unitary element u in M such that $uL(G_0)u^* \subset L(G)$. Since σ is clustering, by Lemma 4.5 it follows that σ_0 is mixing, thus Theorem 5.2 can be applied to conclude that there exists $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $u(\{u_h^0\}_h)u^* \subset \mathbb{T}\{u_g\}_g$ and $uAu^* = A$. The proof of the case $G_0 \in w\mathcal{T}_0$ is similar, using (5.3′ in [Po4]). Q.E.D.

In (part (1) of Theorem D in [Fu1]), Alex Furman proved that the restrictions to subsets Y of irrational measure of the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ given by a classical action σ of an arithmetic Kazhdan group, such as $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{T}^n$, $n \geq 3$, cannot be implemented by a free action of a group. We end by noticing that Theorem 7.7 provides a new class of examples of this situation, without restrictions on the measure of the subsets. Note however that (Thm. D(3) in [Fu1]) also provides examples of equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}$ with the property that no amplification $\mathcal{R}^t, t > 0$ can be implemented by a free action of a group, a situation which we cannot realize here (see however Remark 5.6.2 in [Po4]) !

7.8. Corollary. *Let* $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X, \mu)$ *be a Bernoulli shift action (or more generally a free, sub s-malleable, mixing m.p. action) of an ICC property* (T) *group* G*. If* $Y \subset X$ *has measure* $0 \neq \mu(Y) < 1$ *then* $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^Y$ *(the restriction of the equivalence relation* $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ *to* Y*) cannot be realized as orbits of a free action of a group.*

References

[Bu] M. Burger: *Kazhdan constants for* SL(3, $\mathbb{Z}$), J. reine angew. Math., **413** (1991), 36-67.

[Chr] E. Christensen: *Subalgebras of a finite algebra*, Math. Ann. **243** (1979), 17-29.

[C1] A. Connes: *A type* II_1 *factor with countable fundamental group*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), 151-153.

[C2] A. Connes: *Classification des facteurs*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **38** (Amer. Math. Soc. 1982), 43-109.

[C3] A. Connes: *Classification of injective factors*, Ann. of Math., **104** (1976), 73-115.

[C4] A. Connes: *Sur la classification des facteurs de type* II, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **281** (1975), 13-15.

[CJ1] A. Connes, V.F.R. Jones: *A* II_1 *factor with two non-conjugate Cartan subalgebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1982), 211-212.

[CJ2] A. Connes, V.F.R. Jones: *Property* (T) *for von Neumann algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **17** (1985), 57-62.

[CSh] A. Connes, D. Shlyakhtenko: L^2*-homology for von Neumann algebras*, preprint, math.OA/0309343.

[CoHa] M. Cowling, U. Haagerup: *Completely bounded multipliers of of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one*, Invent. Math. **96** (1989), 507-549.

[CoZi] M. Cowling, R. Zimmer: *Actions of lattices in* $Sp(n, 1)$, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. **9** (1989), 221-237.

[Dy] H. Dye: *On groups of measure preserving transformations*, II, Amer. J. Math, **85** (1963), 551-576.

[FM] J. Feldman, C.C. Moore: *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von*

Neumann algebras I, II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **234** (1977), 289-324, 325-359.

[Fe] T. Fernos: *Kazhdan's Relative Property* (T): *Some New Examples*, math.GR/0411527.

[Fu1] A. Furman: *Orbit equivalence rigidity*, Ann. of Math. **150** (1999), 1083-1108.

[Fu2] A. Furman: *Gromov's measure equivalence and rigidity of higher rank lattices*, Ann. of Math. **150** (1999), 1059-1081.

[Fu3] A. Furman: *Outer automorphism groups of some ergodic equivalence relations*, preprint 2003.

[Ga1] D. Gaboriau: *Cout des rélations d'équivalence et des groupes*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), 41-98.

[Ga2] D. Gaboriau: *Invariants ℓ^2 de rélations d'équivalence et de groupes*, Publ. Math. I.H.É.S. **95** (2002), 93-150.

[GeGo] S. Gefter, V. Golodets: *Fundamental groups for ergodic actions and actions with unit fundamental groups*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **24** (1988), 821-847.

[GoNe] V. Y. Golodets, N. I. Nesonov: T-*property and nonisomorphic factors of type* II *and* III, J. Funct. Analysis **70** (1987), 80-89.

[Gr] M. Gromov: *Hyperbolic groups* in "Essays in group theory" (S.M.S. Gersten editor), Springer, 1987, 75-263.

[Ha] U. Haagerup: *An example of a non-nuclear C^*-algebra which has the metric approximation property*, Invent. Math. **50** (1979), 279-293.

[Hj] G. Hjorth: *A converse to Dye's theorem*, UCLA preprint, Sept. 2002.

[dHV] P. de la Harpe, A. Valette: "La propriété T de Kazhdan pour les groupes localement compacts", Astérisque **175** (1989).

[J1] V.F.R. Jones : *Index for subfactors*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 1-25.

[J2] V.F.R. Jones : *Ten problems*, in "Mathematics: perspectives and frontieres", pp. 79-91, AMS 2000, V. Arnold, M. Atiyah, P. Lax, B. Mazur Editors.

[Ka] D. Kazhdan: *Connection of the dual space of a group with the structure of its closed subgroups*, Funct. Anal. and its Appl., **1** (1967), 63-65.

[Ma] G. Margulis: *Finitely-additive invariant measures on Euclidian spaces*, Ergodic. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **2** (1982), 383-396.

[MoSh] N. Monod, Y. Shalom: *Orbit equivalence rigidity and bounded cohomology*, Preprint 2002.

[Moo] C.C. Moore: *Ergodic theory and von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **38** (Amer. Math. Soc. 1982), 179-226.

[MvN1] F. Murray, J. von Neumann: *On rings of operators*, Ann. Math. **37** (1936), 116-229.

[MvN2] F. Murray, J. von Neumann: *Rings of operators IV*, Ann. Math. **44** (1943), 716-808.

[NeSt] S. Neshveyev, E. Størmer: *Ergodic theory and maximal abelian subalgebras of the hyperfinite factor*, JFA **195** (2002), 239-261.

[Oz] N. Ozawa: *A Kurosh type theorem for type* II_1 *factors*, math.OA/0401121.

[Po1] S. Popa: *Some rigidity results for non-commutative Bernoulli shifts*, MSRI preprint 2001-005.

[Po2] S. Popa: *On a class of type* II_1 *factors with Betti numbers invariants*, MSRI preprint 2001-024, revised math.OA/0209310, to appear in Ann. of Math.

[Po3] S. Popa: *On the fundametal group of type* II_1 *factors*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **101** (2004), 723-726. (math.OA/0210467).

[Po4] S. Popa: *Strong rigidity of* II_1 *factors arising from malleable actions of weakly rigid groups*, I, math.OA/0305306.

[Po5] S. Popa: *On the distance between MASA's in type* II_1 *factors*, Fields Institute Comm. **30** (2001), 321-324.

[Po6] S. Popa: *Some computations of* 1*-cohomology groups and construction of non orbit equivalent actions*, math.OA/0407199.

[Po7] S. Popa: *Orthogonal pairs of* $*$*-subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras*, Journal of Operator Theory, **9** (1983), 253-268.

[Po8] S. Popa: *Correspondences*, INCREST preprint 1986.

[Po9] S. Popa: *Classification of subfactors of type* II, Acta Math. **172** (1994), 163-255.

[PoSa] S. Popa, R. Sasyk: *On the cohomology of actions of groups by Bernoulli shifts*, math.OA/0311417.

[PoSiSm] S. Popa, A. Sinclair, R. Smith: *Perturbations of subalgebras of type* II_1 *factors*, J. Funct. Analysis **213** (2004), 346-379 (math.OA/0305444).

[S] I.M. Singer: *Automorphisms of finite factors*, Amer. J. Math. **177** (1955), 117-133.

[T] M. Takesaki: "Theory of Operator Algebras II", Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences **125**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2002.

[Va] A. Valette: *Group pairs with relative property* (T) *from arithmetic lattices*, preprint 2004 (preliminary version 2001).

[Zi] R. Zimmer: "Ergodic theory and semisimple groups", Birkhaüser-Verlag, Boston 1984.

Math.Dept., UCLA, LA, CA 90095-155505
E-mail address: `popa@math.ucla.edu`